arXiv:math/0408390v3 [math.QA] 17 Apr 2008

Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; an algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials*

Paul Terwilliger

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote a field, and let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. We consider a pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy the following two conditions:

(i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is diagonal.

(ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A is diagonal.

We call such a pair a *Leonard pair* on V. We give a correspondence between Leonard pairs and a class of orthogonal polynomials. This class coincides with the terminating branch of the Askey scheme and consists of the q-Racah, q-Hahn, dual q-Hahn, q-Krawtchouk, dual q-Krawtchouk, quantum q-Krawtchouk, affine q-Krawtchouk, Racah, Hahn, dual Hahn, Krawtchouk, Bannai/Ito, and orphan polynomials. We describe the above correspondence in detail. We show how, for the listed polynomials, the 3-term recurrence, difference equation, Askey-Wilson duality, and orthogonality can be expressed in a uniform and attractive manner using the corresponding Leonard pair. We give some examples that indicate how Leonard pairs arise in representation theory and algebraic combinatorics. We discuss a mild generalization of a Leonard pair called a tridiagonal pair. At the end we list some open problems. Throughout these notes our argument is elementary and uses only linear algebra. No prior exposure to the topic is assumed.

---

[*] Lecture notes for the summer school on orthogonal polynomials and special functions, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganes, Spain. July 8–July 18, 2004.
http://www.uc3m.es/uc3m/dpto/MATEM/summerschool/indice.html

CONTENTS

1. Leonard pairs 2
2. An example 3
3. Leonard systems 4
4. The D_4 action 7
5. The structure of a Leonard system 8
6. The antiautomorphism † 10
7. The scalars a_i, x_i 11
8. The polynomials p_i 13
9. The scalars ν, m_i 15
10. The standard basis 16
11. The scalars b_i, c_i 18
12. The scalars k_i 20
13. The polynomials v_i 21
14. The polynomials u_i 22
15. A bilinear form 23
16. Askey-Wilson duality 25
17. The three-term recurrence and the difference equation 26
18. The orthogonality relations 27
19. The matrix P 28
20. The split decomposition 29
21. The split basis 32
22. The parameter array and the classifying space 33
23. Everything in terms of the parameter array 35
24. The terminating branch of the Askey scheme 38
25. A characterization of Leonard systems 41
26. Leonard pairs A, A^* with A lower bidiagonal and A^* upper bidiagonal 43
27. Leonard pairs A, A^* with A tridiagonal and A^* diagonal 44
28. A characterization of the parameter arrays I 44
29. A characterization of the parameter arrays II 45
30. The Askey-Wilson relations 45
31. Leonard pairs and the Lie algebra sl_2 46
32. Leonard pairs and the quantum algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ 47
33. Leonard pairs in combinatorics 48
34. Tridiagonal pairs 49
35. Appendix: List of parameter arrays 53
36. Suggestions for further research 63
References 68

1 Leonard pairs

These notes are based on the papers [46], [47], [48], [91], [92], [93], [94], [95], [96], [97], [98], [99], [100]. We begin by recalling the notion of a *Leonard pair*. We will use the following terms. Let X denote a square matrix. Then X is called *tridiagonal* whenever each nonzero entry lies on either the diagonal, the subdiagonal, or the superdiagonal. Assume X is tridiagonal. Then X is called *irreducible* whenever each entry on the subdiagonal is nonzero and each entry on the superdiagonal is nonzero.

We now define a Leonard pair. For the rest of this paper $\mathbb{K}$ will denote a field.

Definition 1.1 *[91]* Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *Leonard pair* on V, we mean an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy both (i), (ii) below.

(i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is diagonal.

(ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A is diagonal.

Note 1.2 According to a common notational convention A^* denotes the conjugate-transpose of A. We are not using this convention. In a Leonard pair A, A^* the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i), (ii) above.

Note 1.3 Our use of the name "Leonard pair" is motivated by a connection to a theorem of Doug Leonard [64], [11, p. 260] that involves the q-Racah and related polynomials of the Askey scheme.

2 An example

Here is an example of a Leonard pair. Set $V = \mathbb{K}^4$ (column vectors), set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and view A and A^* as linear transformations from V to V. We assume the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is not 2 or 3, to ensure A is irreducible. Then A, A^* is a Leonard pair on V. Indeed, condition (i) in Definition 1.1 is satisfied by the basis for V consisting of the columns of the 4 by 4 identity matrix. To verify condition (ii), we display an invertible matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP$ is diagonal and $P^{-1}A^*P$ is irreducible tridiagonal. Set

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By matrix multiplication $P^2 = 8I$, where I denotes the identity, so P^{-1} exists. Also by matrix multiplication,

$$AP = PA^*. \tag{1}$$

Apparently $P^{-1}AP$ is equal to A^* and is therefore diagonal. By (1) and since P^{-1} is a scalar multiple of P, we find $P^{-1}A^*P$ is equal to A and is therefore irreducible tridiagonal. Now condition (ii) of Definition 1.1 is satisfied by the basis for V consisting of the columns of P.

The above example is a member of the following infinite family of Leonard pairs. For any nonnegative integer d the pair

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & 0 & d-1 & & & \\ & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^* = \mathrm{diag}(d, d-2, d-4, \ldots, -d) \tag{2}$$

is a Leonard pair on the vector space $\mathbb{K}^{d+1}$, provided the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is zero or an odd prime greater than d. This can be proved by modifying the proof for $d = 3$ given above. One shows $P^2 = 2^d I$ and $AP = PA^*$, where P denotes the matrix with ij entry

$$P_{ij} = \binom{d}{j} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -i, -j \\ -d \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, 2 \right) \qquad (0 \le i, j \le d). \tag{3}$$

We follow the standard notation for hypergeometric series [29, p. 3]. The details of the above calculations are given in Section 24 below.

3 Leonard systems

When working with a Leonard pair, it is often convenient to consider a closely related and somewhat more abstract object called a *Leonard system*. In order to define this we first make an observation about Leonard pairs.

Lemma 3.1 *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on V. Then the eigenvalues of A are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{K}$. Moreover, the eigenvalues of A^* are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{K}$.*

Proof: Concerning A, recall by Definition 1.1(ii) that there exists a basis for V consisting of eigenvectors for A. Consequently the eigenvalues of A are all in $\mathbb{K}$, and the minimal polynomial of A has no repeated roots. To show the eigenvalues of A are distinct, we show the minimal polynomial of A has degree equal to $\dim V$. By Definition 1.1(i), there exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal. Denote this matrix by B. On one hand, A and B have the same minimal polynomial. On the other hand, using the tridiagonal shape of B, we find $I, B, B^2, \ldots, B^d$ are linearly independent, where $d = \dim V - 1$, so the minimal polynomial of B has degree $d + 1 = \dim V$. We conclude the mininimal polynomial of A has degree equal to $\dim V$, so the eigenvalues of A are distinct. We have now obtained our assertions about A, and the case of A^* is similar. $\Box$

To prepare for our definition of a Leonard system, we recall a few concepts from linear algebra. Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra consisting of all $d+1$ by $d+1$ matrices that have entries in $\mathbb{K}$. We index the rows and columns by $0, 1, \ldots, d$. We let $\mathbb{K}^{d+1}$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space consisting of all $d+1$ by 1 matrices that have entries in $\mathbb{K}$. We index the rows by $0, 1, \ldots, d$. We view $\mathbb{K}^{d+1}$ as a left module for $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. We observe this module is irreducible. For the rest of this paper we let $\mathcal{A}$ denote a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra isomorphic to $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. When we refer to an $\mathcal{A}$-module we mean a left $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. We remark that V is unique up to isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$-modules, and that V has dimension $d+1$. Let $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ denote a basis for V. For $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Y \in \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$, we say Y *represents* X *with respect to* $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ whenever $Xv_j = \sum_{i=0}^{d} Y_{ij} v_i$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$. Let A denote an element of $\mathcal{A}$. We say A is *multiplicity-free* whenever it has $d+1$ mutually distinct eigenvalues in $\mathbb{K}$. Let A denote a multiplicity-free element of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote an ordering of the eigenvalues of A, and for $0 \leq i \leq d$ put

$$E_i = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{A - \theta_j I}{\theta_i - \theta_j}, \tag{4}$$

where I denotes the identity of $\mathcal{A}$. We observe (i) $AE_i = \theta_i E_i$ $(0 \leq i \leq d)$; (ii) $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$ $(0 \leq i, j \leq d)$; (iii) $\sum_{i=0}^{d} E_i = I$; (iv) $A = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \theta_i E_i$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by A. Using (i)–(iv) we find the sequence $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d$ is a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{D}$. We call E_i the *primitive idempotent* of A associated with θ_i. It is helpful to think of these primitive idempotents as follows. Observe

$$V = E_0 V + E_1 V + \cdots + E_d V \qquad \text{(direct sum)}. \tag{5}$$

For $0 \leq i \leq d$, $E_i V$ is the (one dimensional) eigenspace of A in V associated with the eigenvalue θ_i, and E_i acts on V as the projection onto this eigenspace. We remark that $\{A^i | 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ is a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{D}$ and that $\prod_{i=0}^{d} (A - \theta_i I) = 0$. By a *Leonard pair in* $\mathcal{A}$ we mean an ordered pair of elements taken from $\mathcal{A}$ that act on V as a Leonard pair in the sense of Definition 1.1. We call $\mathcal{A}$ the *ambient algebra* of the pair and say the pair is *over* $\mathbb{K}$. We refer to d as the *diameter* of the pair. We now define a Leonard system.

Definition 3.2 *[91, Definition 1.4]* By a *Leonard system* in $\mathcal{A}$ we mean a sequence $\Phi := (A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d})$ that satisfies (i)–(v) below.

(i) Each of A, A^* is a multiplicity-free element in $\mathcal{A}$.

(ii) $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d$ is an ordering of the primitive idempotents of A.

(iii) $E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_d^*$ is an ordering of the primitive idempotents of A^*.

(iv) $E_i A^* E_j = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |i-j| > 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 \end{cases} \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$

(v) $E_i^* A E_j^* = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |i-j| > 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 \end{cases} \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$

We refer to d as the *diameter* of Φ and say Φ is *over* $\mathbb{K}$. We call $\mathcal{A}$ the *ambient algebra* of Φ.

We comment on how Leonard pairs and Leonard systems are related. In the following discussion V denotes an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let $(A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let v_i denote a nonzero vector in E_iV. Then the sequence $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is a basis for V that satisfies Definition 1.1(ii). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let v_i^* denote a nonzero vector in E_i^*V. Then the sequence $v_0^*, v_1^*, \ldots, v_d^*$ is a basis for V that satisfies Definition 1.1(i). By these comments the pair A, A^* is a Leonard pair in $\mathcal{A}$. Conversely let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair in $\mathcal{A}$. Then each of A, A^* is multiplicity-free by Lemma 3.1. Let $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ denote a basis for V that satisfies Definition 1.1(ii). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the vector v_i is an eigenvector for A; let E_i denote the corresponding primitive idempotent. Let $v_0^*, v_1^*, \ldots, v_d^*$ denote a basis for V that satisfies Definition 1.1(i). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the vector v_i^* is an eigenvector for A^*; let E_i^* denote the corresponding primitive idempotent. Then $(A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ is a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$. In summary we have the following.

Lemma 3.3 *Let A and A^* denote elements of $\mathcal{A}$. Then the pair A, A^* is a Leonard pair in $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) Each of A, A^* is multiplicity-free.

(ii) There exists an ordering $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d$ of the primitive idempotents of A and there exists an ordering $E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_d^*$ of the primitive idempotents of A^* such that $(A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ is a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$.

We recall the notion of *isomorphism* for Leonard pairs and Leonard systems.

Definition 3.4 Let A, A^* and B, B^* denote Leonard pairs over $\mathbb{K}$. By an *isomorphism of Leonard pairs from A, A^* to B, B^** we mean an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras from the ambient algebra of A, A^* to the ambient algebra of B, B^* that sends A to B and A^* to B^*. The Leonard pairs A, A^* and B, B^* are said to be *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of Leonard pairs from A, A^* to B, B^*.

Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ denote an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras. We write $\Phi^\sigma := (A^\sigma; A^{*\sigma}; \{E_i^\sigma\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^{*\sigma}\}_{i=0}^d)$ and observe Φ^σ is a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}'$.

Definition 3.5 Let Φ and Φ' denote Leonard systems over $\mathbb{K}$. By an *isomorphism of Leonard systems from Φ to Φ'* we mean an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras σ from the ambient algebra of Φ to the ambient algebra of Φ' such that $\Phi^\sigma = \Phi'$. The Leonard systems Φ, Φ' are said to be *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of Leonard systems from Φ to Φ'.

We have a remark. Let $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ denote any map. By the Skolem-Noether theorem [87, Corollary 9.122], σ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras if and only if there exists an invertible $S \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $X^\sigma = SXS^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$.

4 The D_4 action

A given Leonard system can be modified in several ways to get a new Leonard system. For instance, let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2, and let $\alpha, \alpha^*, \beta, \beta^*$ denote scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha^* \neq 0$. Then the sequence

$$(\alpha A + \beta I; \alpha^* A^* + \beta^* I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$$

is a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$. Also, each of the following three sequences is a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^* &:= (A^*; A; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d),\\
\Phi^{\downarrow} &:= (A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d),\\
\Phi^{\Downarrow} &:= (A; A^*; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).
\end{aligned}$$

Viewing $*, \downarrow, \Downarrow$ as permutations on the set of all Leonard systems,

$$*^2 = \downarrow^2 = \Downarrow^2 = 1, \tag{6}$$

$$\Downarrow * = * \downarrow, \qquad \downarrow * = * \Downarrow, \qquad \downarrow\Downarrow = \Downarrow\downarrow. \tag{7}$$

The group generated by symbols $*, \downarrow, \Downarrow$ subject to the relations (6), (7) is the dihedral group D_4. We recall D_4 is the group of symmetries of a square, and has 8 elements. Apparently $*, \downarrow, \Downarrow$ induce an action of D_4 on the set of all Leonard systems. Two Leonard systems will be called *relatives* whenever they are in the same orbit of this D_4 action. The relatives of Φ are as follows:

name	relative
Φ	$(A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\downarrow}$	$(A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\Downarrow}$	$(A; A^*; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\downarrow\Downarrow}$	$(A; A^*; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d)$
Φ^*	$(A^*; A; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\downarrow *}$	$(A^*; A; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\Downarrow *}$	$(A^*; A; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\downarrow\Downarrow *}$	$(A^*; A; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d)$

There may be some isomorphisms among the above Leonard systems.

For the rest of this paper we will use the following notational convention.

Definition 4.1 Let Φ denote a Leonard system. For any element g in the group D_4 and for any object f that we associate with Φ, we let f^g denote the corresponding object for the Leonard system $\Phi^{g^{-1}}$. We have been using this convention all along; an example is $E_i^*(\Phi) = E_i(\Phi^*)$.

5 The structure of a Leonard system

In this section we establish a few basic facts concerning Leonard systems. We begin with a definition and two routine lemmas.

Definition 5.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, we let θ_i (resp. θ^*_i) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) associated with E_i (resp. E^*_i). We refer to $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ as the *eigenvalue sequence* of Φ. We refer to $\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$ as the *dual eigenvalue sequence* of Φ. We observe $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{K}$. Similarly $\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$ are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{K}$.

Lemma 5.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let v_i denote a nonzero vector in E^*_iV and observe $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is a basis for V. Then (i), (ii) hold below.*

(i) For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents E^*_i with respect to $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ has ii entry 1 and all other entries 0.

(ii) The matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents A^* with respect to $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is equal to $\mathrm{diag}(\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d)$.

Lemma 5.3 *Let A denote an irreducible tridiagonal matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. Pick any integers i, j $(0 \leq i, j \leq d)$. Then (i)–(iii) hold below.*

(i) The entry $(A^r)_{ij} = 0$ if $r < |i-j|$, $\qquad (0 \leq r \leq d)$.

(ii) Suppose $i \leq j$. Then the entry $(A^{j-i})_{ij} = \prod_{h=i}^{j-1} A_{h,h+1}$. Moreover $(A^{j-i})_{ij} \neq 0$.

(iii) Suppose $i \geq j$. Then the entry $(A^{i-j})_{ij} = \prod_{h=j}^{i-1} A_{h+1,h}$. Moreover $(A^{i-j})_{ij} \neq 0$.

Theorem 5.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Then the elements*

$$A^r E^*_0 A^s \qquad (0 \leq r, s \leq d) \tag{8}$$

form a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof: The number of elements in (8) is equal to $(d+1)^2$, and this number is the dimension of $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore it suffices to show the elements in (8) are linearly independent. To do this, we represent the elements in (8) by matrices. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let v_i denote a nonzero vector in E^*_iV, and observe $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is a basis for V. For the purpose of this proof, let us identify each element of $\mathcal{A}$ with the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents it with respect to the basis $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$. Adopting this point of view we find A is irreducible tridiagonal and A^* is diagonal. For $0 \leq r, s \leq d$ we show the entries of $A^r E^*_0 A^s$ satisfy

$$(A^r E^*_0 A^s)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad i > r \quad \text{or} \quad j > s\,; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if} \quad i = r \quad \text{and} \quad j = s \end{cases} \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{9}$$

By Lemma 5.2(i) the matrix E^*_0 has 00 entry 1 and all other entries 0. Therefore

$$(A^r E^*_0 A^s)_{ij} = (A^r)_{i0}(A^s)_{0j} \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{10}$$

We mentioned A is irreducible tridiagonal. Applying Lemma 5.3 we find that for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the entry $(A^r)_{i0}$ is zero if $i > r$, and nonzero if $i = r$. Similarly for $0 \leq j \leq d$ the entry $(A^s)_{0j}$ is zero if $j > s$, and nonzero if $j = s$. Combining these facts with (10) we routinely obtain (9) and it follows the elements (8) are linearly independent. Apparently the elements (8) form a basis for $\mathcal{A}$, as desired. $\square$

Corollary 5.5 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Then the elements A, E^*_0 together generate $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover the elements A, A^* together generate $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof: The first assertion is immediate from Theorem 5.4. The second assertion follows from the first assertion and the observation that E^*_0 is a polynomial in A^*. $\square$

The following is immediate from Corollary 5.5.

Corollary 5.6 *Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair in $\mathcal{A}$. Then the elements A, A^* together generate $\mathcal{A}$.*

We mention a few implications of Theorem 5.4 that will be useful later in the paper.

Lemma 5.7 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by A. Let $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_d$ denote a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{D}$. Then the elements*

$$X_r E^*_0 X_s \qquad (0 \leq r, s \leq d) \tag{11}$$

form a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof: The number of elements in (11) is equal to $(d+1)^2$, and this number is the dimension of $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore it suffices to show the elements (11) span $\mathcal{A}$. But this is immediate from Theorem 5.4, and since each element in (8) is contained in the span of the elements (11). $\square$

Corollary 5.8 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Then the elements*

$$E_r E^*_0 E_s \qquad (0 \leq r, s \leq d) \tag{12}$$

form a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof: Immediate from Lemma 5.7, with $X_i = E_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. $\square$

Lemma 5.9 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by A. Let X and Y denote elements in $\mathcal{D}$ and assume $XE^*_0Y = 0$. Then $X = 0$ or $Y = 0$.*

Proof: Let $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_d$ denote a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{D}$. Since $X \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$ $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ such that $X = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \alpha_i X_i$. Similarly there exists $\beta_i \in \mathbb{K}$ $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ such that $Y = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \beta_i X_i$. Evaluating $0 = XE_0^*Y$ using these equations we get $0 = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d} \alpha_i \beta_j X_i E_0^* X_j$. From this and Lemma 5.7 we find $\alpha_i \beta_j = 0$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. We assume $X \neq 0$ and show $Y = 0$. Since $X \neq 0$ there exists an integer i $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$. Now for $0 \leq j \leq d$ we have $\alpha_i \beta_j = 0$ so $\beta_j = 0$. It follows $Y = 0$. $\square$

We finish this section with a comment.

Lemma 5.10 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Pick any integers i, j $(0 \leq i, j \leq d)$. Then (i)–(iv) hold below.*

(i) $E_i^* A^r E_j^* = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad r < |i-j|, \qquad (0 \leq r \leq d).$

(ii) Suppose $i \leq j$. Then

$$E_i^* A^{j-i} E_j^* = E_i^* A E_{i+1}^* A \cdots E_{j-1}^* A E_j^*. \tag{13}$$

Moreover $E_i^* A^{j-i} E_j^* \neq 0$.

(iii) Suppose $i \geq j$. Then

$$E_i^* A^{i-j} E_j^* = E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* A \cdots E_{j+1}^* A E_j^*. \tag{14}$$

Moreover $E_i^* A^{i-j} E_j^* \neq 0$.

Proof: Represent the elements of Φ by matrices as in the proof of Theorem 5.4, and use Lemma 5.3. $\square$

6 The antiautomorphism †

We recall the notion of an *antiautomorphism* of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ denote any map. We call γ an *antiautomorphism* of $\mathcal{A}$ whenever γ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces and $(XY)^\gamma = Y^\gamma X^\gamma$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. For example assume $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. Then γ is an antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if there exists an invertible element R in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $X^\gamma = R^{-1} X^t R$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$, where t denotes transpose. This follows from the Skolem-Noether theorem [87, Corollary 9.122].

Theorem 6.1 *Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair in $\mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique antiautomorphism $\dagger$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $A^\dagger = A$ and $A^{*\dagger} = A^*$. Moreover $X^{\dagger\dagger} = X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof: Concerning existence, let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. By Definition 1.1(i) there exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is diagonal. Let us denote this basis by $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$. For $X \in \mathcal{A}$ let X^σ denote the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents X with respect to the basis $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$. We observe $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras. We abbreviate $B = A^\sigma$ and observe B is irreducible tridiagonal. We abbreviate $B^* = A^{*\sigma}$ and observe B^* is diagonal. Let D denote the diagonal matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that has ii entry

$$D_{ii} = \frac{B_{01}B_{12}\cdots B_{i-1,i}}{B_{10}B_{21}\cdots B_{i,i-1}} \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

It is routine to verify $D^{-1}B^tD = B$. Each of D, B^* is diagonal so $DB^* = B^*D$; also $B^{*t} = B^*$ so $D^{-1}B^{*t}D = B^*$. Let $\gamma : \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the map that satisfies $X^\gamma = D^{-1}X^tD$ for all $X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. We observe γ is an antiautomorphism of $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $B^\gamma = B$ and $B^{*\gamma} = B^*$. We define the map $\dagger : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ to be the composition $\dagger = \sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}$. We observe $\dagger$ is an antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $A^\dagger = A$ and $A^{*\dagger} = A^*$. We have now shown there exists an antiautomorphism $\dagger$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $A^\dagger = A$ and $A^{*\dagger} = A^*$. This antiautomorphism is unique since A, A^* together generate $\mathcal{A}$. The map $X \to X^{\dagger\dagger}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras from $\mathcal{A}$ to itself. This isomorphism is the identity since $A^{\dagger\dagger} = A$, $A^{*\dagger\dagger} = A^*$, and since A, A^* together generate $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Definition 6.2 Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair in $\mathcal{A}$. By the *antiautomorphism which corresponds to* A, A^* we mean the map $\dagger : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ from Theorem 6.1. Let $\Phi = (A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$. By the *antiautomorphism which corresponds to* Φ we mean the antiautomorphism which corresponds to the Leonard pair A, A^*.

Lemma 6.3 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $\dagger$ denote the corresponding antiautomorphism. Then the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by A. Then $X^\dagger = X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$; in particular $E_i^\dagger = E_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{D}^*$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by A^*. Then $X^\dagger = X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}^*$; in particular $E_i^{*\dagger} = E_i^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof: (i) The sequence A^i $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ is a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{D}$. Observe $\dagger$ stabilizes A^i for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The result follows.
(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. $\square$

7 The scalars a_i, x_i

In this section we introduce some scalars that will help us describe Leonard systems.

Definition 7.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. We define

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \mathrm{tr}(E_i^*A) \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), &(15)\\ x_i &= \mathrm{tr}(E_i^*AE_{i-1}^*A) \qquad\qquad (1 \leq i \leq d), &(16)\end{aligned}$$

where tr denotes trace. For notational convenience we define $x_0 = 0$.

We have a comment.

Lemma 7.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let v_i denote a nonzero vector in $E^*_i V$ and observe $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is a basis for V. Let B denote the matrix in $Mat_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents A with respect to $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$. We observe B is irreducible tridiagonal. The following (i)–(iii) hold.*

- *(i)* $B_{ii} = a_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d)$.
- *(ii)* $B_{i,i-1} B_{i-1,i} = x_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$.
- *(iii)* $x_i \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$.

Proof: (i), (ii) For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents E^*_i with respect to $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ has ii entry 1 and all other entries 0. The result follows in view of Definition 7.1.
(iii) Immediate from (ii) and since B is irreducible. ☐

Theorem 7.3 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module and let v denote a nonzero vector in $E^*_0 V$. Then for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the vector $E^*_i A^i v$ is nonzero and hence a basis for $E^*_i V$. Moreover the sequence*

$$E^*_i A^i v \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d) \tag{17}$$

is a basis for V.

Proof: We show $E^*_i A^i v \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Let i be given. Setting $j = 0$ in Lemma 5.10(iii) we find $E^*_i A^i E^*_0 \neq 0$. Therefore $E^*_i A^i E^*_0 V \neq 0$. The space $E^*_0 V$ is spanned by v so $E^*_i A^i v \neq 0$ as desired. The remaining claims follow. ☐

Theorem 7.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars a_i, x_i be as in Definition 7.1. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. With respect to the basis for V given in (17) the matrix that represents A is equal to*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & x_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & a_1 & x_2 & & & \\ & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & x_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & a_d \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

Proof: With reference to (17) abbreviate $v_i = E^*_i A^i v$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Let B denote the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents A with respect to $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$. We show B is equal to (18). In view of Lemma 7.2 it suffices to show $B_{i,i-1} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the matrix B^i represents A^i with respect to $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$; therefore $A^i v_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{d} (B^i)_{j0} v_j$. Applying E^*_i

and using $v_0 = v$ we find $v_i = (B^i)_{i0} v_i$ so $(B^i)_{i0} = 1$, forcing $B_{i,i-1} \cdots B_{21} B_{10} = 1$ by Lemma 5.3. We have shown $B_{i,i-1} \cdots B_{21} B_{10} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ so $B_{i,i-1} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. We now see B is equal to (18). $\square$

We finish this section with a few comments.

Lemma 7.5 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars a_i, x_i be as in Definition 7.1. Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.*

(i) $E_i^* A E_i^* = a_i E_i^* \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$

(ii) $E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* A E_i^* = x_i E_i^* \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d).$

(iii) $E_{i-1}^* A E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* = x_i E_{i-1}^* \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d).$

Proof: (i) Observe E_i^* is a basis for $E_i^* \mathcal{A} E_i^*$. By this and since $E_i^* A E_i^*$ is contained in $E_i^* \mathcal{A} E_i^*$ we find there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $E_i^* A E_i^* = \alpha_i E_i^*$. Taking the trace of both sides and using $\mathrm{tr}(XY) = \mathrm{tr}(YX)$, $\mathrm{tr}(E_i^*) = 1$ we find $a_i = \alpha_i$.
(ii) We mentioned above that E_i^* is a basis for $E_i^* \mathcal{A} E_i^*$. By this and since $E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* A E_i^*$ is contained in $E_i^* \mathcal{A} E_i^*$ we find there exists $\beta_i \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* A E_i^* = \beta_i E_i^*$. Taking the trace of both sides we find $x_i = \beta_i$.
(iii) Similar to the proof of (ii) above. $\square$

Lemma 7.6 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars x_i be as in Definition 7.1. Then the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) $E_j^* A^{j-i} E_i^* A^{j-i} E_j^* = x_{i+1} x_{i+2} \cdots x_j E_j^* \qquad (0 \leq i \leq j \leq d).$

(ii) $E_i^* A^{j-i} E_j^* A^{j-i} E_i^* = x_{i+1} x_{i+2} \cdots x_j E_i^* \qquad (0 \leq i \leq j \leq d).$

Proof: (i) Evaluate the expression on the left using Lemma 5.10(ii), (iii) and Lemma 7.5(ii).
(ii) Evaluate the expression on the left using Lemma 5.10(ii), (iii) and Lemma 7.5(iii). $\square$

8 The polynomials p_i

In this section we begin our discussion of polynomials. We will use the following notation. Let λ denote an indeterminate. We let $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra consisting of all polynomials in λ that have coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$. For the rest of this paper all polynomials that we discuss are assumed to lie in $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$.

Definition 8.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars a_i, x_i be as in Definition 7.1. We define a sequence of polynomials $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{d+1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= 1, && (19) \\ \lambda p_i &= p_{i+1} + a_i p_i + x_i p_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), && (20) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_{-1} = 0$. We observe p_i is monic with degree exactly i for $0 \leq i \leq d+1$.

Lemma 8.2 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials* p_i *be as in Definition 8.1. Let* V *denote an irreducible* $\mathcal{A}$*-module and let* v *denote a nonzero vector in* E^*_0V*. Then* $p_i(A)v = E^*_iA^iv$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and* $p_{d+1}(A)v = 0$*.*

Proof: We abbreviate $v_i = p_i(A)v$ for $0 \leq i \leq d+1$. We define $v'_i = E^*_iA^iv$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $v'_{d+1} = 0$. We show $v_i = v'_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d+1$. From the construction $v_0 = v$ and $v'_0 = v$ so $v_0 = v'_0$. From (20) we obtain

$$Av_i = v_{i+1} + a_iv_i + x_iv_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d) \tag{21}$$

where $v_{-1} = 0$. From Theorem 7.4 we find

$$Av'_i = v'_{i+1} + a_iv'_i + x_iv'_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d) \tag{22}$$

where $v'_{-1} = 0$. Comparing (21), (22) and using $v_0 = v'_0$ we find $v_i = v'_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d+1$. The result follows. $\square$

We mention a few consequences of Lemma 8.2.

Theorem 8.3 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials* p_i *be as in Definition 8.1. Let* V *denote an irreducible* $\mathcal{A}$*-module. Then*

$$p_i(A)E^*_0V = E^*_iV \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Proof: Let v denote a nonzero vector in E^*_0V. Then $p_i(A)v = E^*_iA^iv$ by Lemma 8.2. Observe v is a basis for E^*_0V. By Theorem 7.3 we find $E^*_iA^iv$ is a basis for E^*_iV. Combining these facts we find $p_i(A)E^*_0V = E^*_iV$. $\square$

Theorem 8.4 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials* p_i *be as in Definition 8.1. Then*

$$p_i(A)E^*_0 = E^*_iA^iE^*_0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{23}$$

Proof: Let the integer i be given and abbreviate $\Delta = p_i(A) - E^*_iA^i$. We show $\Delta E^*_0 = 0$. In order to do this we show $\Delta E^*_0V = 0$, where V denotes an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let v denote a nonzero vector in E^*_0V and recall v is a basis for E^*_0V. By Lemma 8.2 we have $\Delta v = 0$ so $\Delta E^*_0V = 0$. Now $\Delta E^*_0 = 0$ so $p_i(A)E^*_0 = E^*_iA^iE^*_0$. $\square$

Theorem 8.5 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomial* p_{d+1} *be as in Definition 8.1. Then the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) p_{d+1} *is both the minimal polynomial and the characteristic polynomial of* A*.*

(ii) $p_{d+1} = \prod_{i=0}^{d}(\lambda - \theta_i)$*.*

Proof: (i) We first show p_{d+1} is equal to the minimal polynomial of A. Recall $I, A, \ldots, A^d$ are linearly independent and that p_{d+1} is monic with degree $d+1$. We show $p_{d+1}(A) = 0$. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let v denote a nonzero vector in E_0^*V and recall v is a basis for E_0^*V. From Lemma 8.2 we find $p_{d+1}(A)v = 0$. It follows $p_{d+1}(A)E_0^*V = 0$ so $p_{d+1}(A)E_0^* = 0$. Applying Lemma 5.9 (with $X = p_{d+1}(A)$ and $Y = I$) we find $p_{d+1}(A) = 0$. We have now shown p_{d+1} is the minimal polynomial of A. By definition the characteristic polynomial of A is equal to $\det(\lambda I - A)$. This polynomial is monic with degree $d+1$ and has p_{d+1} as a factor; therefore it is equal to p_{d+1}.
(ii) For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the scalar θ_i is an eigenvalue of A and therefore a root of the characteristic polynomial of A. $\square$

The following result will be useful.

Lemma 8.6 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1. Let the scalars x_i be as in Definition 7.1. Then*

$$E_i^* = \frac{p_i(A)E_0^*p_i(A)}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{24}$$

Proof: Let $\dagger : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ denote the antiautomorphism which corresponds to Φ. From Theorem 8.4 we have $p_i(A)E_0^* = E_i^* A^i E_0^*$. Applying $\dagger$ we find $E_0^* p_i(A) = E_0^* A^i E_i^*$. From these comments we find

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(A)E_0^*p_i(A) &= E_i^* A^i E_0^* A^i E_i^* \\ &= x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i E_i^* \end{aligned}$$

in view of Lemma 7.6(i). The result follows. $\square$

9 The scalars ν, m_i

In this section we introduce some more scalars that will help us describe Leonard systems.

Definition 9.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. We define

$$m_i = \mathrm{tr}(E_i E_0^*) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{25}$$

Lemma 9.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Then (i)–(v) hold below.*

(i) $E_i E_0^* E_i = m_i E_i \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d)$.

(ii) $E_0^* E_i E_0^* = m_i E_0^* \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d)$.

(iii) $m_i \neq 0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d)$.

(iv) $\sum_{i=0}^{d} m_i = 1$.

(v) $m_0 = m_0^*$.

Proof: (i) Observe E_i is a basis for $E_i\mathcal{A}E_i$. By this and since $E_iE_0^*E_i$ is contained in $E_i\mathcal{A}E_i$, there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $E_iE_0^*E_i = \alpha_iE_i$. Taking the trace of both sides in this equation and using $\operatorname{tr}(XY) = \operatorname{tr}(YX)$, $\operatorname{tr}(E_i) = 1$ we find $\alpha_i = m_i$.
(ii) Similar to the proof of (i).
(iii) Observe m_iE_i is equal to $E_iE_0^*E_i$ by part (i) above and $E_iE_0^*E_i$ is nonzero by Corollary 5.8. It follows $m_iE_i \neq 0$ so $m_i \neq 0$.
(iv) Multiply each term in the equation $\sum_{i=0}^{d} E_i = I$ on the right by E_0^*, and then take the trace. Evaluate the result using Definition 9.1.
(v) The elements $E_0E_0^*$ and $E_0^*E_0$ have the same trace. $\square$

Definition 9.3 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Recall $m_0 = m_0^*$ by Lemma 9.2(v); we let ν denote the multiplicative inverse of this common value. We observe $\nu = \nu^*$. We emphasize

$$\operatorname{tr}(E_0E_0^*) = \nu^{-1}. \tag{26}$$

Lemma 9.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalar ν be as in Definition 9.3. Then the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) $\nu E_0E_0^*E_0 = E_0$.

(ii) $\nu E_0^*E_0E_0^* = E_0^*$.

Proof: (i) Set $i = 0$ in Lemma 9.2(i) and recall $m_0 = \nu^{-1}$.
(ii) Set $i = 0$ in Lemma 9.2(ii) and recall $m_0 = \nu^{-1}$. $\square$

10 The standard basis

In this section we discuss the notion of a *standard basis*. We begin with a comment.

Lemma 10.1 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then*

$$E_i^*V = E_i^*E_0V \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{27}$$

Proof: The space E_i^*V has dimension 1 and contains $E_i^*E_0V$. We show $E_i^*E_0V \neq 0$. Applying Corollary 5.8 to Φ^* we find $E_i^*E_0 \neq 0$. It follows $E_i^*E_0V \neq 0$. We conclude $E_i^*V = E_i^*E_0V$. $\square$

Lemma 10.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V. Then for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the vector E_i^*u is nonzero and hence a basis for E_i^*V. Moreover the sequence*

$$E_0^*u, E_1^*u, \ldots, E_d^*u \tag{28}$$

is a basis for V.

Proof: Let the integer i be given. We show $E_i^* u \neq 0$. Recall E_0V has dimension 1 and u is a nonzero vector in E_0V so u spans E_0V. Applying E_i^* we find E_i^*u spans $E_i^*E_0V$. The space $E_i^*E_0V$ is nonzero by Lemma 10.1 so E_i^*u is nonzero. The remaining assertions are clear. $\Box$

Definition 10.3 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. By a Φ-*standard basis* for V, we mean a sequence

$$E_0^*u, E_1^*u, \ldots, E_d^*u,$$

where u is a nonzero vector in E_0V.

We give a few characterizations of the standard basis.

Lemma 10.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ denote a sequence of vectors in V, not all 0. Then this sequence is a Φ-standard basis for V if and only if both (i), (ii) hold below.*

(i) $v_i \in E_i^*V$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq d$.

(ii) $\sum_{i=0}^d v_i \in E_0V$.

Proof: To prove the lemma in one direction, assume $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is a Φ-standard basis for V. By Definition 10.3 there exists a nonzero $u \in E_0V$ such that $v_i = E_i^*u$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Apparently $v_i \in E_i^*V$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ so (i) holds. Let I denote the identity element of $\mathcal{A}$ and recall $I = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i^*$. Applying this to u we find $u = \sum_{i=0}^d v_i$ and (ii) follows. We have now proved the lemma in one direction. To prove the lemma in the other direction, assume $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ satisfy (i), (ii) above. We define $u = \sum_{i=0}^d v_i$ and observe $u \in E_0V$. Using (i) we find $E_i^*v_j = \delta_{ij}v_j$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$; it follows $v_i = E_i^*u$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Observe $u \neq 0$ since at least one of $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is nonzero. Now $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is a Φ-standard basis for V by Definition 10.3. $\Box$

We recall some notation. Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let B denote a matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. Let α denote a scalar in $\mathbb{K}$. Then B is said to have *constant row sum* α whenever $B_{i0} + B_{i1} + \cdots + B_{id} = \alpha$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Lemma 10.5 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars θ_i, θ_i^* be as in Definition 5.1. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module and let $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ denote a basis for V. Let B (resp. B^*) denote the matrix in $Mat_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents A (resp. A^*) with respect to this basis. Then $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is a Φ-standard basis for V if and only if both (i), (ii) hold below.*

(i) B *has constant row sum* θ_0.

(ii) $B^* = diag(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*)$.

Proof: Observe $A\sum_{j=0}^{d} v_j = \sum_{i=0}^{d} v_i(B_{i0} + B_{i1} + \cdots B_{id})$. Recall E_0V is the eigenspace for A and eigenvalue θ_0. Apparently B has constant row sum θ_0 if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{d} v_i \in E_0V$. Recall that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, E^*_iV is the eigenspace for A^* and eigenvalue θ^*_i. Apparently $B^* = \mathrm{diag}(\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d)$ if and only if $v_i \in E^*_iV$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The result follows in view of Lemma 10.4. $\square$

Definition 10.6 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. We define a map $\flat : \mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ as follows. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. For all $X \in \mathcal{A}$ we let $X^\flat$ denote the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents X with respect to a Φ-standard basis for V. We observe $\flat : \mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras.

Lemma 10.7 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars θ_i, θ^*_i be as in Definition 5.1. Let the map $\flat : \mathcal{A} \to Mat_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ be as in Definition 10.6. Then (i)–(iii) hold below.*

- *(i) $A^\flat$ has constant row sum θ_0.*
- *(ii) $A^{*\flat} = diag(\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d)$.*
- *(iii) For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the matrix $E^{*\flat}_i$ has ii entry 1 and all other entries 0.*

Proof: (i), (ii) Combine Lemma 10.5 and Definition 10.6.
(iii) Immediate from Lemma 5.2(i). $\square$

11 The scalars b_i, c_i

In this section we consider some scalars that arise naturally in the context of the standard basis.

Definition 11.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the map $\flat : \mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ be as in Definition 10.6. For $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ we let b_i denote the $i, i+1$ entry of $A^\flat$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ we let c_i denote the $i, i-1$ entry of $A^\flat$. We observe

$$A^\flat = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ c_1 & a_1 & b_1 & & \\ & c_2 & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & b_{d-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & c_d & a_d \end{pmatrix}, \tag{29}$$

where the a_i are from Definition 7.1. For notational convenience we define $b_d = 0$ and $c_0 = 0$.

Lemma 11.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars b_i, c_i be as in Definition 11.1. Then with reference to Definition 5.1 and Definition 7.1 the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) $b_{i-1}c_i = x_i \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d).$

(ii) $c_i + a_i + b_i = \theta_0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$

Proof: (i) Apply Lemma 7.2(ii) with $B = A^\flat$.
(ii) Combine (29) and Lemma 10.7(i). $\square$

Lemma 11.3 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars b_i, c_i be as in Definition 11.1. Let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1 and let the scalar θ_0 be as in Definition 5.1. Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.*

(i) $b_i \neq 0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d-1).$

(ii) $c_i \neq 0 \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d).$

(iii) $b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} = p_i(\theta_0) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d+1).$

Proof: (i), (ii) Immediate from Lemma 11.2(i) and since each of $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d$ is nonzero.
(iii) Assume $0 \leq i \leq d$; otherwise each side is zero. Let $\dagger : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ denote the antiautomorphism which corresponds to Φ. Applying $\dagger$ to both sides of (23) we get $E^*_0 p_i(A) = E^*_0 A^i E^*_i$. Let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V and observe $Au = \theta_0 u$. Recall $E^*_0u, E^*_1u, \ldots, E^*_du$ is a Φ-standard basis for V, and that $A^\flat$ represents A with respect to this basis. From (29) we find $b_0b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}$ is the $0i$ entry of $A^{i\flat}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} E^*_0 u &= E^*_0 A^i E^*_i u \\ &= E^*_0 p_i(A) u \\ &= p_i(\theta_0) E^*_0 u \end{aligned}$$

and it follows $b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} = p_i(\theta_0)$. $\square$

Theorem 11.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1. Let the scalar θ_0 be as in Definition 5.1. Then $p_i(\theta_0) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Let the scalars b_i, c_i be as in Definition 11.1. Then*

$$b_i = \frac{p_{i+1}(\theta_0)}{p_i(\theta_0)} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d) \tag{30}$$

and

$$c_i = \frac{x_i p_{i-1}(\theta_0)}{p_i(\theta_0)} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{31}$$

Proof: Observe $p_i(\theta_0) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ by Lemma 11.3(i), (iii). Line (30) is immediate from Lemma 11.3(iii). To get (31) combine (30) and Lemma 11.2(i). $\square$

Theorem 11.5 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars c_i be as in Definition 11.1. Let the scalars θ_i be as in Definition 5.1 and let the scalar ν be as in Definition 9.3. Then*

$$(\theta_0 - \theta_1)(\theta_0 - \theta_2) \cdots (\theta_0 - \theta_d) = \nu c_1 c_2 \cdots c_d. \tag{32}$$

Proof: Let δ denote the expression on the left-hand side of (32). Setting $i = 0$ in (4) we find $\delta E_0 = \prod_{j=1}^{d}(A - \theta_j I)$. We multiply both sides of this equation on the left by E^*_d and on the right by E^*_0. We evaluate the resulting equation using Lemma 5.10(i) to obtain $\delta E^*_d E_0 E^*_0 = E^*_d A^d E^*_0$. We multiply both sides of this equation on the right by E_0 and use Lemma 9.4(i) to obtain

$$\delta \nu^{-1} E^*_d E_0 = E^*_d A^d E^*_0 E_0. \tag{33}$$

Let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V and observe $E_0u = u$. Recall $E^*_0u, E^*_1u, \ldots, E^*_du$ is a Φ-standard basis for V, and that $A^\flat$ represents A with respect to this basis. From (29) we find $c_1c_2\cdots c_d$ is the $d0$ entry of $A^{d\flat}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} c_1c_2\cdots c_d E^*_d u &= E^*_d A^d E^*_0 u \\ &= E^*_d A^d E^*_0 E_0 u \\ &= \delta \nu^{-1} E^*_d u \end{aligned}$$

so $c_1c_2\cdots c_d = \delta\nu^{-1}$. The result follows. □

12 The scalars k_i

In this section we consider some scalars that are closely related to the scalars from Definition 9.1.

Definition 12.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. We define

$$k_i = m^*_i \nu \qquad (0 \le i \le d), \tag{34}$$

where the m^*_i are from Definition 9.1 and ν is from Definition 9.3.

Lemma 12.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars k_i be as in Definition 12.1. Then (i) $k_0 = 1$; (ii) $k_i \neq 0$ for $0 \le i \le d$; (iii) $\sum_{i=0}^{d} k_i = \nu$.*

Proof: (i) Set $i = 0$ in (34) and recall $m^*_0 = \nu^{-1}$.
(ii) Applying Lemma 9.2(iii) to Φ^* we find $m^*_i \neq 0$ for $0 \le i \le d$. We have $\nu \neq 0$ by Definition 9.3. The result follows in view of (34).
(iii) Applying Lemma 9.2(iv) to Φ^* we find $\sum_{i=0}^{d} m^*_i = 1$. The result follows in view of (34). □

Lemma 12.3 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars k_i be as in Definition 12.1. Then with reference to Definition 5.1, Definition 7.1, and Definition 8.1,*

$$k_i = \frac{p_i(\theta_0)^2}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i} \qquad (0 \le i \le d). \tag{35}$$

Proof: We show that each side of (35) is equal to $\nu \mathrm{tr}(E_i^* E_0)$. Using (25) and (34) we find $\nu \mathrm{tr}(E_i^* E_0)$ is equal to the left-hand side of (35). Using Lemma 8.6 we find $\nu \mathrm{tr}(E_i^* E_0)$ is equal to the right-hand side of (35). □

Theorem 12.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars k_i be as in Definition 12.1. Let the scalars b_i, c_i be as in Definition 11.1. Then*

$$k_i = \frac{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} \qquad (0 \le i \le d). \tag{36}$$

Proof: Evaluate the expression on the right in (35) using Lemma 11.2(i) and Lemma 11.3(iii). □

13 The polynomials v_i

Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1. The p_i have two normalizations of interest; we call these the u_i and the v_i. In this section we discuss the v_i. In the next section we will discuss the u_i.

Definition 13.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1. For $0 \le i \le d$ we define the polynomial v_i by

$$v_i = \frac{p_i}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i}, \tag{37}$$

where the c_j are from Definition 11.1. We observe $v_0 = 1$.

Lemma 13.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials v_i be as in Definition 13.1. Let the scalar θ_0 be as in Definition 5.1 and let the scalars k_i be as in Definition 12.1. Then*

$$v_i(\theta_0) = k_i \qquad (0 \le i \le d). \tag{38}$$

Proof: Use Lemma 11.3(iii), Theorem 12.4, and (37). □

Lemma 13.3 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials v_i be as in Definition 13.1. Let the scalars a_i, b_i, c_i be as in Definition 7.1 and Definition 11.1. Then*

$$\lambda v_i = c_{i+1}v_{i+1} + a_i v_i + b_{i-1}v_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \tag{39}$$

where $b_{-1} = 0$ and $v_{-1} = 0$. Moreover

$$\lambda v_d - a_d v_d - b_{d-1}v_{d-1} = (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_d)^{-1} p_{d+1}. \tag{40}$$

Proof: In (20), divide both sides by $c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i$. Evaluate the result using Lemma 11.2(i) and (37). $\square$

Theorem 13.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials v_i be as in Definition 13.1. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module and let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V. Then*

$$v_i(A)E_0^*u = E_i^*u \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{41}$$

Proof: For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define $w_i = v_i(A)E_0^*u$ and $w_i' = E_i^*u$. We show $w_i = w_i'$. Each of w_0, w_0' is equal to E_0^*u so $w_0 = w_0'$. Using Lemma 13.3 we obtain

$$Aw_i = c_{i+1}w_{i+1} + a_i w_i + b_{i-1}w_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d-1) \tag{42}$$

where $w_{-1} = 0$ and $b_{-1} = 0$. By Definition 10.6, Definition 11.1, and since $w_0', w_1', \ldots, w_d'$ is a Φ-standard basis,

$$Aw_i' = c_{i+1}w_{i+1}' + a_i w_i' + b_{i-1}w_{i-1}' \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d-1) \tag{43}$$

where $w_{-1}' = 0$. Comparing (42), (43) and using $w_0 = w_0'$ we find $w_i = w_i'$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The result follows. $\square$

14 The polynomials u_i

Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1. In the previous section we gave a normalization of the p_i that we called the v_i. In this section we give a normalization for the p_i that we call the u_i.

Definition 14.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define the polynomial u_i by

$$u_i = \frac{p_i}{p_i(\theta_0)}, \tag{44}$$

where θ_0 is from Definition 5.1. We observe $u_0 = 1$. Moreover

$$u_i(\theta_0) = 1 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{45}$$

Lemma 14.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials u_i be as in Definition 14.1. Let the scalars a_i, b_i, c_i be as in Definition 7.1 and Definition 11.1. Then*

$$\lambda u_i = b_i u_{i+1} + a_i u_i + c_i u_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \tag{46}$$

where $u_{-1} = 0$. Moreover

$$\lambda u_d - c_d u_{d-1} - a_d u_d = p_d(\theta_0)^{-1} p_{d+1}, \tag{47}$$

where θ_0 is from Definition 5.1.

Proof: In (20), divide both sides by $p_i(\theta_0)$ and evaluate the result using Lemma 11.2(i), Theorem 11.4, and (44). $\square$

The u_i and v_i are related as follows.

Lemma 14.3 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Let the polynomials u_i, v_i be as in Definition 14.1 and Definition 13.1 respectively. Then*

$$v_i = k_i u_i \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{48}$$

where the k_i are from Definition 12.1.

Proof: Compare (37) and (44) in light of Lemma 11.3(iii) and Theorem 12.4. $\square$

15 A bilinear form

In this section we associate with each Leonard pair a certain bilinear form. To prepare for this we recall a few concepts from linear algebra.

Let V denote a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. By a *bilinear form on V* we mean a map $\langle\, ,\, \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ that satisfies the following four conditions for all $u, v, w \in V$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$: (i) $\langle u+v, w\rangle = \langle u, w\rangle + \langle v, w\rangle$; (ii) $\langle \alpha u, v\rangle = \alpha\langle u, v\rangle$; (iii) $\langle u, v+w\rangle = \langle u, v\rangle + \langle u, w\rangle$; (iv) $\langle u, \alpha v\rangle = \alpha\langle u, v\rangle$. We observe that a scalar multiple of a bilinear form on V is a bilinear form on V. Let $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ denote a bilinear form on V. This form is said to be *symmetric* whenever $\langle u, v\rangle = \langle v, u\rangle$ for all $u, v \in V$. Let $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ denote a bilinear form on V. Then the following are equivalent: (i) there exists a nonzero $u \in V$ such that $\langle u, v\rangle = 0$ for all $v \in V$; (ii) there exists a nonzero $v \in V$ such that $\langle u, v\rangle = 0$ for all $u \in V$. The form $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ is said to be *degenerate* whenever (i), (ii) hold and *nondegenerate* otherwise. Let $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ denote an antiautomorphism and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then there exists a nonzero bilinear form $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ on V such that $\langle Xu, v\rangle = \langle u, X^{\gamma} v\rangle$ for all $u, v \in V$ and for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$. The form is unique up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$. The form in nondegenerate. We refer to this form as the *bilinear form on V associated with γ*. This form is not symmetric in general.

We now return our attention to Leonard pairs.

Definition 15.1 Let $\Phi = (A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\dagger : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ denote the corresponding antiautomorphism from Definition 6.2. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. For the rest of this paper we let $\langle\, , \rangle$ denote the bilinear form on V associated with $\dagger$. We abbreviate $\|u\|^2 = \langle u, u\rangle$ for all $u \in V$. By the construction, for $X \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\langle Xu, v\rangle = \langle u, X^\dagger v\rangle \qquad (\forall u \in V, \forall v \in V). \tag{49}$$

We make an observation.

Lemma 15.2 *With reference to Definition 15.1, let $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^*$) denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by A (resp. A^*). Then for $X \in \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{D}^*$ we have*

$$\langle Xu, v\rangle = \langle u, Xv\rangle \qquad (\forall u \in V, \forall v \in V). \tag{50}$$

Proof: Combine (49) and Lemma 6.3. $\square$

Theorem 15.3 *With reference to Definition 15.1, let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V and recall $E_0^*u, E_1^*u, \ldots, E_d^*u$ is a Φ-standard basis for V. We have*

$$\langle E_i^*u, E_j^*u\rangle = \delta_{ij} k_i \nu^{-1} \|u\|^2 \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d), \tag{51}$$

where the k_i are from Definition 12.1 and ν is from Definition 9.3.

Proof: By (50) and since $E_0u = u$ we find $\langle E_i^*u, E_j^*u\rangle = \langle u, E_0E_i^*E_j^*E_0u\rangle$. Using Lemma 9.2(ii) and (34) we find $\langle u, E_0E_i^*E_j^*E_0u\rangle = \delta_{ij}k_i\nu^{-1}\|u\|^2$. $\square$

Corollary 15.4 *With reference to Definition 15.1, the bilinear form $\langle\, , \rangle$ is symmetric.*

Proof: Let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V and abbreviate $v_i = E_i^*u$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. From Theorem 15.3 we find $\langle v_i, v_j\rangle = \langle v_j, v_i\rangle$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. The result follows since $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ is a basis for V. $\square$

We have a comment.

Lemma 15.5 *With reference to Definition 15.1, let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V and let v denote a nonzero vector in E_0^*V. Then the following (i)–(iv) hold.*

- *(i)* *Each of $\|u\|^2, \|v\|^2, \langle u, v\rangle$ is nonzero.*
- *(ii)* $E_0^*u = \langle u, v\rangle \|v\|^{-2} v$.
- *(iii)* $E_0v = \langle u, v\rangle \|u\|^{-2} u$.
- *(iv)* $\nu\langle u, v\rangle^2 = \|u\|^2\|v\|^2$.

Proof: (i) Observe $\|u\|^2 \neq 0$ by Theorem 15.3 and since $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ is not 0. Similarly $\|v\|^2 \neq 0$. To see that $\langle u, v\rangle \neq 0$, observe that v is a basis for E_0^*V so there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $E_0^*u = \alpha v$. Recall $E_0^*u \neq 0$ by Lemma 10.2 so $\alpha \neq 0$. Using (50) and $E_0^*v = v$ we routinely find $\langle u, v\rangle = \alpha\|v\|^2$ and it follows $\langle u, v\rangle \neq 0$.
(ii) In the proof of part (i) we found $E_0^*u = \alpha v$ where $\langle u, v\rangle = \alpha\|v\|^2$. The result follows.
(iii) Similar to the proof of (ii) above.
(iv) Using $u = E_0u$ and $\nu E_0E_0^*E_0 = E_0$ we find $\nu^{-1}u = E_0E_0^*u$. To finish the proof, evaluate $E_0E_0^*u$ using (ii) above and then (iii) above. □

16 Askey-Wilson duality

In this section we show the polynomials u_i, v_i, p_i satisfy a relation known as *Askey-Wilson duality.* We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 16.1 *With reference to Definition 15.1, let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V and let v denote a nonzero vector in E_0^*V. Then*

$$\langle E_i^*u, E_jv\rangle = \nu^{-1}k_ik_j^*u_i(\theta_j)\langle u, v\rangle \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{52}$$

Proof: Using Theorem 13.4 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle E_i^*u, E_jv\rangle &= \langle v_i(A)E_0^*u, E_jv\rangle \\
&= \langle E_0^*u, v_i(A)E_jv\rangle \\
&= v_i(\theta_j)\langle E_0^*u, E_jv\rangle \\
&= v_i(\theta_j)\langle E_0^*u, v_j^*(A^*)E_0v\rangle \\
&= v_i(\theta_j)\langle v_j^*(A^*)E_0^*u, E_0v\rangle \\
&= v_i(\theta_j)v_j^*(\theta_0^*)\langle E_0^*u, E_0v\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Using Lemma 15.5(ii)–(iv) we find $\langle E_0^*u, E_0v\rangle = \nu^{-1}\langle u, v\rangle$. Observe $v_i(\theta_j) = u_i(\theta_j)k_i$ by (48). Applying Lemma 13.2 to Φ^* we find $v_j^*(\theta_0^*) = k_j^*$. Evaluating (53) using these comments we obtain (52). □

Theorem 16.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Let the polynomials u_i be as in Definition 14.1 and recall the u_i^* are the corresponding polynomials for Φ^*. Let the scalars θ_i, θ_i^* be as in Definition 5.1. Then*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = u_j^*(\theta_i^*) \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{54}$$

Proof: Applying Lemma 16.1 to Φ^* we find

$$\langle E_jv, E_i^*u\rangle = \nu^{-1}k_j^*k_iu_j^*(\theta_i^*)\langle u, v\rangle \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{55}$$

To finish the proof, compare (52), (55), and recall $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ is symmetric. □

In the following two theorems we show how (54) looks in terms of the polynomials v_i and p_i.

Theorem 16.3 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. With reference to Definition 4.1, Definition 5.1, and Definition 13.1,*

$$v_i(\theta_j)/k_i = v^*_j(\theta^*_i)/k^*_j \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{56}$$

Proof: Evaluate (54) using Lemma 14.3. □

Theorem 16.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. With reference to Definition 4.1, Definition 5.1, and Definition 8.1,*

$$\frac{p_i(\theta_j)}{p_i(\theta_0)} = \frac{p^*_j(\theta^*_i)}{p^*_j(\theta^*_0)} \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{57}$$

Proof: Evaluate (54) using Definition 14.1. □

The equations (54), (56), (57) are often referred to as *Askey-Wilson duality.*

17 The three-term recurrence and the difference equation

In Lemma 14.2 we gave a three-term recurrence for the polynomials u_i. This recurrence is often expressed as follows.

Theorem 17.1 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials u_i be as in Definition 14.1. Let the scalars θ_i be as in Definition 5.1. Then for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we have*

$$\theta_j u_i(\theta_j) = b_i u_{i+1}(\theta_j) + a_i u_i(\theta_j) + c_i u_{i-1}(\theta_j), \tag{58}$$

where $u_{-1} = 0$ and $u_{d+1} = 0$.

Proof: Apply Lemma 14.2 (with $\lambda = \theta_j$) and observe $p_{d+1}(\theta_j) = 0$ by Theorem 8.5(ii). □

Applying Theorem 17.1 to Φ^* and using Theorem 16.2 we routinely obtain the following.

Theorem 17.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials u_i be as in Definition 14.1. Then for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we have*

$$\theta^*_i u_i(\theta_j) = b^*_j u_i(\theta_{j+1}) + a^*_j u_i(\theta_j) + c^*_j u_i(\theta_{j-1}), \tag{59}$$

where θ_{-1}, θ_{d+1} denote indeterminates.

We refer to (59) as the *difference equation* satisfied by the u_i.

18 The orthogonality relations

In this section we show that each of the polynomials p_i, u_i, v_i satisfy an orthogonality relation. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 18.1 *With reference to Definition 15.1, let* u *denote a nonzero vector in* E_0V *and let* v *denote a nonzero vector in* E_0^*V. *Then for* $0 \leq i \leq d$, *both*

$$E_i^*u = \frac{\langle u, v\rangle}{\|v\|^2} \sum_{j=0}^{d} v_i(\theta_j) E_j v, \tag{60}$$

$$E_i v = \frac{\langle u, v\rangle}{\|u\|^2} \sum_{j=0}^{d} v_i^*(\theta_j^*) E_j^* u. \tag{61}$$

Proof: We first show (60). To do this we show each side of (60) is equal to $v_i(A)E_0^*u$. By Theorem 13.4 we find $v_i(A)E_0^*u$ is equal to the left-hand side of (60). To see that $v_i(A)E_0^*u$ is equal to the right-hand side of (60), multiply $v_i(A)E_0^*u$ on the left by the identity I, expand using $I = \sum_{j=0}^{d} E_j$, and simplify the result using $E_jA = \theta_j E_j$ $(0 \leq j \leq d)$ and Lemma 15.5(ii). We have now proved (60). Applying (60) to Φ^* we obtain (61). $\Box$

We now display the othogonality relations for the polynomials v_i.

Theorem 18.2 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials* v_i *be as in Definition 13.1. Then both*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{d} v_i(\theta_r) v_j(\theta_r) k_r^* = \delta_{ij} \nu k_i \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d), \tag{62}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} v_i(\theta_r) v_i(\theta_s) k_i^{-1} = \delta_{rs} \nu k_r^{*-1} \qquad (0 \leq r, s \leq d). \tag{63}$$

Proof: Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module and let v denote a nonzero vector in E_0^*V. Applying Theorem 15.3 to Φ^* we find $\langle E_r v, E_s v\rangle = \delta_{rs} k_r^* \nu^{-1} \|v\|^2$ for $0 \leq r, s \leq d$. To obtain (62), in equation (51), eliminate each of E_i^*u, E_j^*u using (60), and simplify the result using our preliminary comment and Lemma 15.5. To obtain (63), apply (62) to Φ^* and use Askey-Wilson duality. $\Box$

We now turn to the polynomials u_i.

Theorem 18.3 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials* u_i *be as in Definition 14.1. Then both*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{d} u_i(\theta_r) u_j(\theta_r) k_r^* = \delta_{ij} \nu k_i^{-1} \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d),$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} u_i(\theta_r) u_i(\theta_s) k_i = \delta_{rs} \nu k_r^{*-1} \qquad (0 \leq r, s \leq d).$$

Proof: Evaluate each of (62), (63) using Lemma 14.3. ☐

We now turn to the polynomials p_i.

Theorem 18.4 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials* p_i *be as in Definition 8.1. Then both*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=0}^{d} p_i(\theta_r)p_j(\theta_r)m_r &= \delta_{ij}x_1x_2\cdots x_i \qquad (0 \leq i,j \leq d),\\
\sum_{i=0}^{d} \frac{p_i(\theta_r)p_i(\theta_s)}{x_1x_2\cdots x_i} &= \delta_{rs}m_r^{-1} \qquad (0 \leq r,s \leq d).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Applying Definition 12.1 to Φ^* we find $k_r^* = m_r\nu$ for $0 \leq r \leq d$. Evaluate each of (62), (63) using this and Definition 13.1, Lemma 11.2(i), (36). ☐

19 The matrix P

In this section we express Lemma 18.1 in matrix form and consider the consequences.

Definition 19.1 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. We define a matrix $P \in \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ as follows. For $0 \leq i,j \leq d$ the entry $P_{ij} = v_j(\theta_i)$, where θ_i is from Definition 5.1 and v_j is from Definition 13.1.

Theorem 19.2 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Let the matrix* P *be as in Definition 19.1 and recall* P^* *is the corresponding matrix for* Φ^*. *Then* $P^*P = \nu I$, *where* ν *is from Definition 9.3.*

Proof: Compare (60), (61) and use Lemma 15.5(iv). ☐

Theorem 19.3 *Let* Φ *denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the matrix* P *be as in Definition 19.1. Let the map* $\flat : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Mat_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ *be as in Definition 10.6 and let* $\sharp : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Mat_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ *denote the corresponding map for* Φ^*. *Then for all* $X \in \mathcal{A}$ *we have*

$$X^\sharp P = PX^\flat. \tag{64}$$

Proof: Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let u denote a nonzero vector in E_0V and recall $E_0^*u, E_1^*u, \ldots, E_d^*u$ is a Φ-standard basis for V. By Definition 10.6, $X^\flat$ is the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents X with respect to $E_0^*u, E_1^*u, \ldots, E_d^*u$. Similarly for a nonzero $v \in E_0^*V$, $X^\sharp$ is the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that represents X with respect to $E_0v, E_1v, \ldots, E_dv$. In view of (60), the transition matrix from $E_0v, E_1v, \ldots, E_dv$ to $E_0^*u, E_1^*u, \ldots, E_d^*u$ is a scalar multiple of P. The result follows from these comments and elementary linear algebra. ☐

20 The split decomposition

Notation 20.1 Throughout this section we let $\Phi = (A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$, with eigenvalue sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ and dual eigenvalue sequence $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$. We let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module.

With reference to Notation 20.1, by a *decomposition* of V we mean a sequence $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ consisting of 1-dimensional subspaces of V such that

$$V = U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_d \qquad \text{(direct sum)}.$$

In this section we are concerned with the following type of decomposition.

Definition 20.2 With reference to Notation 20.1, a decomposition $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ of V is said to be Φ-*split* whenever both

$$(A - \theta_i I)U_i \subseteq U_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \qquad (A - \theta_d I)U_d = 0, \tag{65}$$

$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I)U_i \subseteq U_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \qquad (A^* - \theta_0^* I)U_0 = 0. \tag{66}$$

Our goal in this section is to show there exists a unique Φ-split decomposition of V. The following definition will be useful.

Definition 20.3 With reference to Notation 20.1, we set

$$V_{ij} = \left(\sum_{h=0}^{i} E_h^* V \right) \cap \left(\sum_{k=j}^{d} E_k V \right) \tag{67}$$

for all integers i, j. We interpret the sum on the left in (67) to be 0 (resp. V) if $i < 0$ (resp. $i > d$). Similarily, we interpret the sum on the right in (67) to be V (resp. 0) if $j < 0$ (resp. $j > d$).

Lemma 20.4 *With reference to Notation 20.1 and Definition 20.3, we have*

(i) $V_{i0} = E_0^* V + E_1^* V + \cdots + E_i^* V \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d)$,

(ii) $V_{dj} = E_j V + E_{j+1} V + \cdots + E_d V \qquad (0 \leq j \leq d)$.

Proof: To get (i), set $j = 0$ in (67), and apply (5). Line (ii) is similarily obtained. □

Lemma 20.5 *With reference to Notation 20.1 and Definition 20.3, the following (i)–(iv) hold for* $0 \leq i, j \leq d$.

(i) $(A - \theta_j I)V_{ij} \subseteq V_{i+1,j+1}$,

(ii) $AV_{ij} \subseteq V_{ij} + V_{i+1,j+1}$,

(iii) $(A^* - \theta_i^* I)V_{ij} \subseteq V_{i-1,j-1}$,

(iv) $A^*V_{ij} \subseteq V_{ij} + V_{i-1,j-1}$.

Proof: (i) Using Definition 3.2(v) we find

$$(A - \theta_j I) \sum_{h=0}^{i} E^*_h V \subseteq \sum_{h=0}^{i+1} E^*_h V. \tag{68}$$

Also observe

$$(A - \theta_j I) \sum_{k=j}^{d} E_k V = \sum_{k=j+1}^{d} E_k V. \tag{69}$$

Evaluating $(A - \theta_j I)V_{ij}$ using (67), (68), (69) we routinely find it is contained in $V_{i+1,j+1}$.
(ii) Immediate from (i) above.
(iii) Similar to the proof of (i) above.
(iv) Immediate from (iii) above. □

Lemma 20.6 *With reference to Definition 20.3, we have*

$$V_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i < j, \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{70}$$

Proof: We show the sum

$$V_{0r} + V_{1,r+1} + \cdots + V_{d-r,d} \tag{71}$$

is zero for $0 < r \leq d$. Let r be given, and let W denote the sum in (71). Applying Lemma 20.5(ii),(iv), we find $AW \subseteq W$ and $A^*W \subseteq W$. Now $W = 0$ or $W = V$ in view of Corollary 5.6. By Definition 20.3, each term in (71) is contained in

$$E_r V + E_{r+1} V + \cdots + E_d V, \tag{72}$$

so W is contained in (72). The sum (72) is properly contained in V by (5), and since $r > 0$. Apparently $W \neq V$, so $W = 0$. We have now shown (71) is zero for $0 < r \leq d$, and (70) follows. □

Theorem 20.7 *With reference to Notation 20.1, let $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ denote subspaces of V. Then the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent.*

(i) $U_i = (E^*_0 V + E^*_1 V + \cdots + E^*_i V) \cap (E_i V + E_{i+1} V + \cdots + E_d V) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d)$.

(ii) The sequence $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ is a Φ-split decomposition of V.

(iii) For $0 \leq i \leq d$, both

$$\begin{aligned} U_i + U_{i+1} + \cdots + U_d &= E_i V + E_{i+1} V + \cdots + E_d V, & (73)\\ U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_i &= E^*_0 V + E^*_1 V + \cdots + E^*_i V. & (74) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $(i) \rightarrow (ii)$ To get (65) and (66), set $j = i$ in Lemma 20.5(i),(iii), and observe $U_i = V_{ii}$. We now show the sequence $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ is a decomposition of V. Define $W = \sum_{i=0}^{d} U_i$. Then $AW \subseteq W$ by (65) and $A^*W \subseteq W$ by (66). Now $W = 0$ or $W = V$ in view of Corollary 5.6. However W contains U_0, and $U_0 = E_0^*V$ is nonzero, so $W \neq 0$. It follows $W = V$, and in other words

$$V = U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_d. \tag{75}$$

We show the sum (75) is direct. To do this, we show

$$(U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_{i-1}) \cap U_i = 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let the integer i be given. From the construction

$$U_j \subseteq E_0^*V + E_1^*V + \cdots + E_{i-1}^*V$$

for $0 \leq j \leq i-1$, and

$$U_i \subseteq E_iV + E_{i+1}V + \cdots + E_dV.$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&(U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_{i-1}) \cap U_i \\
&\quad \subseteq (E_0^*V + E_1^*V + \cdots + E_{i-1}^*V) \cap (E_iV + E_{i+1}V + \cdots + E_dV) \\
&\quad = V_{i-1,i} \\
&\quad = 0
\end{aligned}$$

in view of Lemma 20.6. We have now shown the sum (75) is direct. We now show U_i has dimension 1 for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Since the sum (75) is direct, this will follow if we can show $U_i \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Suppose there exists an integer i $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ such that $U_i = 0$. We observe $i \neq 0$, since $U_0 = E_0^*V$ is nonzero, and $i \neq d$, since $U_d = E_dV$ is nonzero. Set

$$U = U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_{i-1},$$

and observe $U \neq 0$ and $U \neq V$ by our remarks above. By Lemma 20.5(ii) and since $U_i = 0$ we find $AU \subseteq U$. By Lemma 20.5(iv) we find $A^*U \subseteq U$. Now $U = 0$ or $U = V$ in view of Corollary 5.6, for a contradiction. We conclude $U_i \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and it follows $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ is a decomposition of V.

$(ii) \rightarrow (iii)$ First consider (73). Let i be given, and abbreviate

$$Z \;=\; E_iV + E_{i+1}V + \cdots + E_dV, \qquad W = U_i + U_{i+1} + \cdots + U_d.$$

We show $Z = W$. To obtain $Z \subseteq W$, set $X = \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(A - \theta_h I)$, and observe $Z = XV$. Using (65) we find $XU_j \subseteq W$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$. By this and since $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ is a decomposition of V we find $XV \subseteq W$. We now have $Z \subseteq W$. Each of Z, W has dimension $d - i + 1$ so $Z = W$. We now have (73). Line (74) is similarily obtained.

$(iii) \rightarrow (i)$ We first show the sum $U_0 + \cdots + U_d$ is direct. To do this, we show

$$(U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_{i-1}) \cap U_i \tag{76}$$

is zero for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let i be given. From (73), (74), we find (76) is contained in

$$(E_0^*V + E_1^*V + \cdots + E_{i-1}^*V) \cap (E_iV + E_{i+1}V + \cdots + E_dV). \tag{77}$$

The expression (77) equals $V_{i-1,i}$, and is hence zero by Lemma 20.6. It follows (76) is zero, and we have now shown the sum $U_0 + \cdots + U_d$ is direct. Combining this with (73), (74), we find

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &= (U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_i) \cap (U_i + U_{i+1} + \cdots + U_d) \\ &= (E_0^*V + E_1^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) \cap (E_iV + E_{i+1}V + \cdots + E_dV), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Corollary 20.8 *With reference to Notation 20.1, there exists a unique Φ-split decomposition of V.*

Proof: Immediate from Theorem 20.7(i),(ii). □

We finish this section with a comment.

Lemma 20.9 *With reference to Notation 20.1, let $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ denote the Φ-split decomposition of V. Then the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) $(A - \theta_i I)U_i = U_{i+1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d-1)$.

(ii) $(A^* - \theta_i^* I)U_i = U_{i-1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d)$.

Proof: (i) Let i be given. Recall $(A - \theta_i I)U_i$ is contained in U_{i+1} by (65) and U_{i+1} has dimension 1, so it suffices to show

$$(A - \theta_i I)U_i \neq 0. \tag{78}$$

Assume $(A - \theta_i I)U_i = 0$, and set $W = \sum_{h=0}^{i} U_h$. Since $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ is a decomposition of V, and since $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ we find $W \neq 0$ and $W \neq V$. Observe $AU_i \subseteq U_i$ by our above assumption; combining this with (65) we find $AW \subseteq W$. By (66) we find $A^*W \subseteq W$. Now $W = 0$ or $W = V$ in view of Corollary 5.6, for a contradiction. We conclude (78) holds and the result follows.
(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. □

21 The split basis

Let $\Phi = (A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$, with eigenvalue sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ and dual eigenvalue sequence $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module and let $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ denote the Φ-split decomposition of V from Definition 20.2. Pick any integer i $(1 \leq i \leq d)$. By Lemma 20.9 we have $(A^* - \theta_i^* I)U_i = U_{i-1}$ and

$(A-\theta_{i-1}I)U_{i-1} = U_i$. Apparently U_i is an eigenspace for $(A-\theta_{i-1}I)(A^*-\theta^*_i I)$, and the corresponding eigenvalue is a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$. We denote this eigenvalue by φ_i. We display a basis for V that illuminates the significance of φ_i. Setting $i=0$ in Theorem 20.7(i) we find $U_0 = E^*_0 V$. Combining this with Lemma 20.9(i) we find

$$U_i = (A-\theta_{i-1}I)\cdots(A-\theta_1 I)(A-\theta_0 I)E^*_0 V \qquad (0 \le i \le d). \tag{79}$$

Let v denote a nonzero vector in E^*_0V. From (79) we find that for $0 \le i \le d$ the vector $(A-\theta_{i-1}I)\cdots(A-\theta_0 I)v$ is a basis for U_i. By this and since $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ is a decomposition of V we find the sequence

$$(A-\theta_{i-1}I)\cdots(A-\theta_1 I)(A-\theta_0 I)v \qquad (0 \le i \le d) \tag{80}$$

is a basis for V. With respect to this basis the matrices representing A and A^* are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \theta^*_0 & \varphi_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta^*_1 & \varphi_2 & & & \\ & & \theta^*_2 & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot & \varphi_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & \theta^*_d \end{pmatrix} \tag{81}$$

respectively. By a Φ-*split basis* for V we mean a sequence of the form (80), where v is a nonzero vector in E^*_0V. We call $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_d$ the *first split sequence* of Φ. We let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_d$ denote the first split sequence of $\Phi^{\Downarrow}$ and call this the *second split sequence* of Φ. For notational convenience we define $\varphi_0 = 0$, $\varphi_{d+1} = 0$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\phi_{d+1} = 0$.

22 The parameter array and the classifying space

Our next goal is to describe the relationship between the eigenvalue sequence, the dual eigenvalue sequence, the first split sequence, and the second split sequence. We will use the following concept.

Definition 22.1 Let d denote a nonnegative integer. By a *parameter array over* $\mathbb{K}$ *of diameter* d we mean a sequence of scalars $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ taken from $\mathbb{K}$ that satisfy the following conditions (PA1)–(PA5).

(PA1) $\theta_i \neq \theta_j, \qquad \theta^*_i \neq \theta^*_j \qquad \text{if} \quad i \neq j, \qquad (0 \le i, j \le d).$

(PA2) $\varphi_i \neq 0, \qquad \phi_i \neq 0 \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$

(PA3) $\varphi_i = \phi_1 \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_h - \theta_{d-h}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta^*_i - \theta^*_0)(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_d) \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$

(PA4) $\phi_i = \varphi_1 \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_h - \theta_{d-h}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta^*_i - \theta^*_0)(\theta_{d-i+1} - \theta_0) \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$

(PA5) The expressions

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\theta^*_{i-2} - \theta^*_{i+1}}{\theta^*_{i-1} - \theta^*_i} \tag{82}$$

are equal and independent of i for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Theorem 22.2 *[91, Theorem 1.9] Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$. Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) The sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$.

(ii) There exists a Leonard system Φ over $\mathbb{K}$ that has eigenvalue sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$, dual eigenvalue sequence $\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$, first split sequence $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_d$ and second split sequence $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_d$.

Suppose (i), (ii) hold. Then Φ is unique up to isomorphism of Leonard systems.

Our proof of Theorem 22.2 is too long to be included in these notes. A complete proof can be found in [91].

Definition 22.3 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. By the *parameter array* of Φ we mean the sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$, where $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ (resp. $\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$) is the eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of Φ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_d$ (resp. $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_d$) is the first split sequence (resp. second split sequence) of Φ.

By Theorem 22.2 the map which sends a given Leonard system to its parameter array induces a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of Leonard systems over $\mathbb{K}$ to the set of parameter arrays over $\mathbb{K}$. Consequently we view the set of parameter arrays over $\mathbb{K}$ as a "classifying space" for the Leonard systems over $\mathbb{K}$.

In the appendix to these notes we display all the parameter arrays over $\mathbb{K}$.

We now cite a result that shows how the parameter arrays behave with respect to the D_4 action given in Section 4.

Theorem 22.4 *[91, Theorem 1.11] Let Φ denote a Leonard system with parameter array $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d;\ \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$. Then (i)–(iii) hold below.*

(i) The parameter array of Φ^* is $(\theta^*_i, \theta_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_{d-j+1}, j = 1..d)$.

(ii) The parameter array of $\Phi^{\downarrow}$ is $(\theta_i, \theta^*_{d-i}, i = 0..d; \phi_{d-j+1}, \varphi_{d-j+1}, j = 1..d)$.

(iii) The parameter array of $\Phi^{\Downarrow}$ is $(\theta_{d-i}, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \phi_j, \varphi_j, j = 1..d)$.

23 Everything in terms of the parameter array

In this section we express all the polynomials and scalars that came up so far in the paper, in terms the parameter array. We will use the following notation.

Definition 23.1 Suppose we are given an integer $d \geq 0$ and two sequences of scalars

$$\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d; \qquad \theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$$

taken from $\mathbb{K}$. Then for $0 \leq i \leq d+1$ we let τ_i, τ^*_i, η_i, η^*_i denote the following polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$.

$$\tau_i = \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(\lambda - \theta_h), \qquad\qquad \tau^*_i = \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(\lambda - \theta^*_h), \tag{83}$$

$$\eta_i = \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(\lambda - \theta_{d-h}), \qquad\qquad \eta^*_i = \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(\lambda - \theta^*_{d-h}). \tag{84}$$

We observe that each of τ_i, τ^*_i, η_i, η^*_i is monic with degree i.

Theorem 23.2 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the polynomials u_i be as in Definition 14.1. Then*

$$u_i = \sum_{h=0}^{i} \frac{\tau^*_h(\theta^*_i)}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_h}\tau_h \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{85}$$

We are using the notation (83).

Proof: Let the integer i be given. The polynomial u_i has degree i so there exists scalars $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i$ in $\mathbb{K}$ such that

$$u_i = \sum_{h=0}^{i} \alpha_h \tau_h. \tag{86}$$

We show

$$\alpha_h = \frac{\tau^*_h(\theta^*_i)}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_h} \qquad\qquad (0 \leq h \leq i). \tag{87}$$

In order to do this we show $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\alpha_{h+1}\varphi_{h+1} = \alpha_h(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_h)$ for $0 \leq h \leq i-1$. We now show $\alpha_0 = 1$. We evaluate (86) at $\lambda = \theta_0$ and find $u_i(\theta_0) = \sum_{h=0}^{i} \alpha_h \tau_h(\theta_0)$. Recall $u_i(\theta_0) = 1$ by (45). Using (83) we find $\tau_h(\theta_0) = 1$ for $h = 0$ and $\tau_h(\theta_0) = 0$ for $1 \leq h \leq i$. From these comments we find $\alpha_0 = 1$. We now show $\alpha_{h+1}\varphi_{h+1} = \alpha_h(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_h)$ for $0 \leq h \leq i-1$. Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let v denote a nonzero vector in E^*_0V and define $e_i = \tau_i(A)v$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Observe that the sequence $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_d$ is the basis for V from (80). Using (81) we find $(A^* - \theta^*_j I)e_j = \varphi_j e_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $(A^* - \theta^*_0 I)e_0 = 0$.

By Theorem 8.3 and (44) we find $u_i(A)E^*_0V = E^*_iV$. By this and since $v \in E^*_0V$ we find $u_i(A)v \in E^*_iV$. Apparently $u_i(A)v$ is an eigenvector for A^* with eigenvalue θ^*_i. We may now argue

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A^* - \theta^*_i I)u_i(A)v \\ &= (A^* - \theta^*_i I)\sum_{h=0}^{i} \alpha_h \tau_h(A)v \\ &= (A^* - \theta^*_i I)\sum_{h=0}^{i} \alpha_h e_h \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} e_h(\alpha_{h+1}\varphi_{h+1} - \alpha_h(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_h)). \end{aligned}$$

By this and since $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_d$ are linearly independent we find $\alpha_{h+1}\varphi_{h+1} = \alpha_h(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_h)$ for $0 \leq h \leq i-1$. Line (87) follows and the theorem is proved. $\Box$

Lemma 23.3 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1. With reference to Definition 23.1 we have*

$$p_i(\theta_0) = \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i}{\tau^*_i(\theta^*_i)} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{88}$$

Proof: In equation (85), each side is a polynomial of degree i in λ. For the polynomial on the left in (85) the coefficient of λ^i is $p_i(\theta_0)^{-1}$ by (44) and since p_i is monic. For the polynomial on the right in (85) the coefficient of λ^i is $\tau^*_i(\theta^*_i)(\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i)^{-1}$. Comparing these coefficients we obtain the result. $\Box$

Theorem 23.4 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the polynomials p_i be as in Definition 8.1. Then with reference to Definition 23.1,*

$$p_i = \sum_{h=0}^{i} \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_h}\frac{\tau^*_h(\theta^*_i)}{\tau^*_i(\theta^*_i)}\tau_h \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Proof: Observe $p_i = p_i(\theta_0)u_i$ by (44). In this equation we evaluate $p_i(\theta_0)$ using (88) and we evaluate u_i using (85). The result follows. $\Box$

Theorem 23.5 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the scalars b_i, c_i be as in Definition 11.1. Then with reference to Definition 23.1 the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) $b_i = \varphi_{i+1} \dfrac{\tau_i^*(\theta_i^*)}{\tau_{i+1}^*(\theta_{i+1}^*)} \qquad\qquad (0 \le i \le d-1).$

(ii) $c_i = \phi_i \dfrac{\eta_{d-i}^*(\theta_i^*)}{\eta_{d-i+1}^*(\theta_{i-1}^*)} \qquad\qquad (1 \le i \le d).$

Proof: (i) Evaluate (30) using Lemma 23.3.
(ii) Using Definition 11.1 we find, with reference to Definition 4.1, that $c_i = b^{\downarrow}_{d-i}$. Applying part (i) above to $\Phi^{\downarrow}$ and using Theorem 22.4(ii) we routinely obtain the result. $\square$

Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the scalars a_i be as in Definition 7.1. We mention two formulae that give a_i in terms of the parameter array of Φ. The first formula is obtained using Lemma 11.2(ii) and Theorem 23.5. The second formula is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 23.6 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the scalars a_i be as in Definition 7.1. Then*

$$a_i = \theta_i + \frac{\varphi_i}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i-1}} + \frac{\varphi_{i+1}}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}} \qquad (0 \le i \le d), \tag{89}$$

where we recall $\varphi_0 = 0$, $\varphi_{d+1} = 0$, and where $\theta^*_{-1}, \theta^*_{d+1}$ denote indeterminates.

Proof: Let the polynomials $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{d+1}$ be as in Definition 8.1 and recall these polynomials are monic. Let i be given and consider the polynomial

$$\lambda p_i - p_{i+1}. \tag{90}$$

From (20) we find the polynomial (90) is equal to $a_i p_i + x_i p_{i-1}$. Therefore the polynomial (90) has degree i and leading coefficient a_i. In order to compute this leading coefficient, in (90) we evaluate each of p_i, p_{i+1} using Theorem 8.5(ii) and Theorem 23.4. By this method we routinely obtain (89). $\square$

Theorem 23.7 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the scalars x_i be as in Definition 7.1. Then with reference to Definition 23.1,*

$$x_i = \varphi_i \phi_i \frac{\tau^*_{i-1}(\theta^*_{i-1})\eta^*_{d-i}(\theta^*_i)}{\tau^*_i(\theta^*_i)\eta^*_{d-i+1}(\theta^*_{i-1})} \qquad (1 \le i \le d). \tag{91}$$

Proof: Use $x_i = b_{i-1}c_i$ and Theorem 23.5. $\square$

Theorem 23.8 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the scalar ν be as in Definition 9.3. Then with reference to Definition 23.1,*

$$\nu = \frac{\eta_d(\theta_0)\eta^*_d(\theta^*_0)}{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_d}. \tag{92}$$

Proof: Evaluate (32) using Theorem 23.5(ii). ☐

Theorem 23.9 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the scalars k_i be as in Definition 12.1. Then with reference to Definition 23.1,*

$$k_i = \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i}{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_i}\frac{\eta^*_d(\theta^*_0)}{\tau^*_i(\theta^*_i)\eta^*_{d-i}(\theta^*_i)} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{93}$$

Proof: Evaluate (36) using Theorem 23.5. ☐

Theorem 23.10 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the scalars m_i be as in Definition 9.1. Then with reference to Definition 23.1,*

$$m_i = \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_{d-i}}{\eta^*_d(\theta^*_0)\tau_i(\theta_i)\eta_{d-i}(\theta_i)} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{94}$$

Proof: Applying Definition 12.1 to Φ^* we find $m_i = k^*_i\nu^{-1}$. We compute k^*_i using Theorem 23.9 and Theorem 22.4(i). We compute ν using Theorem 23.8. The result follows. ☐

24 The terminating branch of the Askey scheme

Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let the polynomials u_i be as in Definition 14.1. In this section we discuss how the u_i fit into the Askey scheme [55], [11, p260]. Our argument is summarized as follows. In the appendix to these notes we display all the parameter arrays over $\mathbb{K}$. These parameter arrays fall into 13 families. In (85) the u_i are expressed as a sum involving the parameter array of Φ. For each of the 13 families of parameter arrays we evaluate this sum. We find the corresponding u_i form a class consisting of the q-Racah, q-Hahn, dual q-Hahn, q-Krawtchouk, dual q-Krawtchouk, quantum q-Krawtchouk, affine q-Krawtchouk, Racah, Hahn, dual Hahn, Krawtchouk, Bannai/Ito, and orphan polynomials. This class coincides with the terminating branch of the Askey scheme. See the appendix for the details. We remark the Bannai/Ito polynomials can be obtained from the q-Racah polynomials by letting q tend to -1 [11, p260]. The orphan polynomials exist for diameter $d = 3$ and $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$ only.

In this section we illustrate what is going on with some examples. We will consider two families of parameter arrays. For the first family the corresponding u_i will turn out to be some Krawtchouk polynomials. For the second family the corresponding u_i will turn out to be the q-Racah polynomials.

Our first example is associated with the Leonard pair (2). Let d denote a nonnegative integer and consider the following elements of $\mathbb{K}$.

$$\theta_i = d - 2i, \qquad \theta^*_i = d - 2i \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{95}$$

$$\varphi_i = -2i(d - i + 1), \qquad \phi_i = 2i(d - i + 1) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{96}$$

In order to avoid degenerate situations we assume the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is zero or an odd prime greater than d. It is routine to show (95), (96) satisfy the conditions PA1–PA5 of Definition 22.1, so $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. By Theorem 22.2 there exists a Leonard system Φ over $\mathbb{K}$ with this parameter array. Let the scalars a_i for Φ be as in (15). Applying Theorem 23.6 to Φ we find

$$a_i = 0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{97}$$

Let the scalars b_i, c_i for Φ be as in Definition 11.1. Applying Theorem 23.5 to Φ we find

$$b_i = d - i, \qquad c_i = i \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{98}$$

Pick any integers i, j $(0 \leq i, j \leq d)$. Applying Theorem 23.2 to Φ we find

$$u_i(\theta_j) = \sum_{n=0}^{d} \frac{(-i)_n (-j)_n 2^n}{(-d)_n n!}, \tag{99}$$

where

$$(a)_n := a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1) \qquad\qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

Hypergeometric series are defined in [29, p. 3]. From this definition we find the sum on the right in (99) is the hypergeometric series

$$ {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -i, -j \\ -d \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, 2 \right). \tag{100}$$

A definition of the Krawtchouk polynomials can be found in [4] or [55]. Comparing this definition with (99), (100) we find the u_i are Krawtchouk polynomials but not the most general ones. Let the scalar ν for Φ be as in Definition 9.3. Applying Theorem 23.8 to Φ we find $\nu = 2^d$. Let the scalars k_i for Φ be as in Definition 12.1. Applying Theorem 23.9 to Φ we obtain a binomial coefficent

$$k_i = \binom{d}{i} \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Let the scalars m_i for Φ be as in Definition 9.1. Applying Theorem 23.10 to Φ we find

$$m_i = \binom{d}{i} 2^{-d} \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

We now give our second example. For this example the polynomials u_i will turn out to be the q-Racah polynomials. To begin, let d denote a nonnegative integer and consider the following elements in $\mathbb{K}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &= \theta_0 + h(1 - q^i)(1 - sq^{i+1})/q^i, && (101) \\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(1 - q^i)(1 - s^*q^{i+1})/q^i && (102) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le i \le d$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= hh^*q^{1-2i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1})(1-r_1q^i)(1-r_2q^i), && (103)\\ \phi_i &= hh^*q^{1-2i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1})(r_1-s^*q^i)(r_2-s^*q^i)/s^* && (104) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i \le d$. We assume $q, h, h^*, s, s^*, r_1, r_2$ are nonzero scalars in the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{K}$, and that $r_1r_2 = ss^*q^{d+1}$. To avoid degenerate situations we assume none of $q^i, r_1q^i, r_2q^i, s^*q^i/r_1, s^*q^i/r_2$ is equal to 1 for $1 \le i \le d$ and neither of sq^i, s^*q^i is equal to 1 for $2 \le i \le 2d$. It is routine to show (101)–(104) satisfy the conditions PA1–PA5 of Definition 22.1, so $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. By Theorem 22.2 there exists a Leonard system Φ over $\mathbb{K}$ with this parameter array. Let the scalars b_i, c_i for Φ be as in Definition 11.1. Applying Theorem 23.5 to Φ we find

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= \frac{h(1-q^{-d})(1-r_1q)(1-r_2q)}{1-s^*q^2}, \\ b_i &= \frac{h(1-q^{i-d})(1-s^*q^{i+1})(1-r_1q^{i+1})(1-r_2q^{i+1})}{(1-s^*q^{2i+1})(1-s^*q^{2i+2})} && (1 \le i \le d-1), \\ c_i &= \frac{h(1-q^i)(1-s^*q^{i+d+1})(r_1-s^*q^i)(r_2-s^*q^i)}{s^*q^d(1-s^*q^{2i})(1-s^*q^{2i+1})} && (1 \le i \le d-1), \\ c_d &= \frac{h(1-q^d)(r_1-s^*q^d)(r_2-s^*q^d)}{s^*q^d(1-s^*q^{2d})}. \end{aligned}$$

Pick integers i, j $(0 \le i, j \le d)$. Applying Theorem 23.2 to Φ we find

$$u_i(\theta_j) = \sum_{n=0}^{d} \frac{(q^{-i};q)_n(s^*q^{i+1};q)_n(q^{-j};q)_n(sq^{j+1};q)_nq^n}{(r_1q;q)_n(r_2q;q)_n(q^{-d};q)_n(q;q)_n}, \qquad (105)$$

where

$$(a;q)_n := (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1}) \qquad n = 0, 1, 2\ldots$$

Basic hypergeometric series are defined in [29, p. 4]. From that definition we find the sum on the right in (105) is the basic hypergeometric series

$${}_4\phi_3\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i},\ s^*q^{i+1},\ q^{-j},\ sq^{j+1} \\ r_1q,\ \ r_2q,\ \ q^{-d} \end{matrix}\ \middle|\ q,\ q\right). \qquad (106)$$

A definition of the q-Racah polynomials can be found in [5] or [55]. Comparing this definition with (105), (106) and recalling $r_1r_2 = ss^*q^{d+1}$, we find the u_i are the q-Racah polynomials. Let the scalar ν for Φ be as in Definition 9.3. Applying Theorem 23.8 to Φ we find

$$\nu = \frac{(sq^2;q)_d(s^*q^2;q)_d}{r_1^dq^d(sq/r_1;q)_d(s^*q/r_1;q)_d}.$$

Let the scalars k_i for Φ be as in Definition 12.1. Applying Theorem 23.9 to Φ we obtain

$$k_i = \frac{(r_1q;q)_i(r_2q;q)_i(q^{-d};q)_i(s^*q;q)_i(1-s^*q^{2i+1})}{s^iq^i(q;q)_i(s^*q/r_1;q)_i(s^*q/r_2;q)_i(s^*q^{d+2};q)_i(1-s^*q)} \qquad (0 \le i \le d).$$

Let the scalars m_i for Φ be as in Definition 9.1. Applying Theorem 23.10 to Φ we find

$$m_i = \frac{(r_1q;q)_i(r_2q;q)_i(q^{-d};q)_i(sq;q)_i(1-sq^{2i+1})}{s^{*i}q^i(q;q)_i(sq/r_1;q)_i(sq/r_2;q)_i(sq^{d+2};q)_i(1-sq)\nu} \qquad (0 \le i \le d).$$

25 A characterization of Leonard systems

We are done describing the correspondence between Leonard pairs and the terminating branch of the Askey scheme. For the remainder of these notes we discuss applications and related topics. We begin with a characterization of Leonard systems.

We recall some results from earlier in the paper. Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Let the polynomials $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{d+1}$ be as in Definition 8.1 and recall $p_0^*, p_1^*, \ldots, p_{d+1}^*$ are the corresponding polynomials for Φ^*. For the purpose of this section, we call $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{d+1}$ the *monic polynomial sequence* (or *MPS*) of Φ. We call $p_0^*, p_1^*, \ldots, p_{d+1}^*$ the *dual MPS* of Φ. By Definition 8.1 we have

$$p_0 = 1, \qquad p_0^* = 1, \tag{107}$$

$$\lambda p_i = p_{i+1} + a_i p_i + x_i p_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{108}$$

$$\lambda p_i^* = p_{i+1}^* + a_i^* p_i^* + x_i^* p_{i-1}^* \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{109}$$

where $x_0, x_0^*, p_{-1}, p_{-1}^*$ are all zero, and where

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \operatorname{tr}(E_i^* A), & a_i^* &= \operatorname{tr}(E_i A^*) & & (0 \leq i \leq d), \\ x_i &= \operatorname{tr}(E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* A), & x_i^* &= \operatorname{tr}(E_i A^* E_{i-1} A^*) & & (1 \leq i \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.2(iii) we have

$$x_i \neq 0, \qquad x_i^* \neq 0 \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{110}$$

Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ (resp. $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$) denote the eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of Φ, and recall

$$\theta_i \neq \theta_j, \qquad \theta_i^* \neq \theta_j^* \qquad \text{if} \quad i \neq j, \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{111}$$

By Theorem 8.5(ii) we have

$$p_{d+1}(\theta_i) = 0, \qquad p_{d+1}^*(\theta_i^*) = 0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{112}$$

By Theorem 11.4 we have

$$p_i(\theta_0) \neq 0, \qquad p_i^*(\theta_0^*) \neq 0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{113}$$

By Theorem 16.4 we have

$$\frac{p_i(\theta_j)}{p_i(\theta_0)} = \frac{p_j^*(\theta_i^*)}{p_j^*(\theta_0^*)} \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \tag{114}$$

In the following theorem we show the equations (107)–(114) characterize the Leonard systems.

Theorem 25.1 *Let d denote a nonnegative integer. Given polynomials*

$$p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{d+1}, \tag{115}$$

$$p^*_0, p^*_1, \ldots, p^*_{d+1} \tag{116}$$

in $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ satisfying (107)–(110) and given scalars

$$\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d, \tag{117}$$

$$\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d \tag{118}$$

in $\mathbb{K}$ satisfying (111)–(114), there exists a Leonard system Φ over $\mathbb{K}$ that has MPS (115), dual MPS (116), eigenvalue sequence (117) and dual eigenvalue sequence (118). The system Φ is unique up to isomorphism of Leonard systems.

Proof: We abbreviate $V = \mathbb{K}^{d+1}$. Let A and A^* denote the following matrices in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$:

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & x_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & a_1 & x_2 & & & \\ & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & x_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & a_d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^* := \mathrm{diag}(\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d).$$

We show the pair A, A^* is a Leonard pair on V. To do this we apply Definition 1.1. Observe that A is irreducible tridiagonal and A^* is diagonal. Therefore condition (i) of Definition 1.1 is satisfied by the basis for V consisting of the columns of I, where I denotes the identity matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. To verify condition (ii) of Definition 1.1, we display an invertible matrix X such that $X^{-1}AX$ is diagonal and $X^{-1}A^*X$ is irreducible tridiagonal. Let X denote the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that has entries

$$X_{ij} = \frac{p_i(\theta_j)p^*_j(\theta^*_0)}{x_1x_2\cdots x_i} \tag{119}$$

$$= \frac{p^*_j(\theta^*_i)p_i(\theta_0)}{x_1x_2\cdots x_i} \tag{120}$$

$0 \leq i, j \leq d$. The matrix X is invertible since it is essentially Vandermonde. Using (108) and (119) we find $AX = XH$ where $H = \mathrm{diag}(\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d)$. Apparently $X^{-1}AX$ is equal to H and is therefore diagonal. Using (109) and (120) we find $A^*X = XH^*$ where

$$H^* := \begin{pmatrix} a^*_0 & x^*_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & a^*_1 & x^*_2 & & & \\ & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & x^*_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & a^*_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Apparently $X^{-1}A^*X$ is equal to H^* and is therefore irreducible tridiagonal. Now condition (ii) of Definition 1.1 is satisfied by the basis for V consisting of the columns of X. We have now shown the pair A, A^* is a Leonard pair on V. Pick an integer j $(0 \leq j \leq d)$. Using $X^{-1}AX = H$ we find θ_j is the eigenvalue of A associated with column j of X. From the definition of A^* we find θ^*_j is the eigenvalue of A^* associated with column j of I. Let E_j (resp. E^*_j) denote the primitive idempotent of A (resp. A^*) for θ_j (resp. θ^*_j). From our above comments the sequence $\Phi := (A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E^*_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ is a Leonard system. From the construction Φ is over $\mathbb{K}$. We show (115) is the MPS of Φ. To do this is suffices to show $a_i = \text{tr}(E^*_i A)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $x_i = \text{tr}(E^*_i A E^*_{i-1} A)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Applying Lemma 7.2(i),(ii) to Φ (with $v_i =$ column i of I, $B = A$) we find $a_i = \text{tr}(E^*_i A)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $x_i = \text{tr}(E^*_i A E^*_{i-1} A)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Therefore (115) is the MPS of Φ. We show (116) is the dual MPS of Φ. Applying Lemma 7.2(i),(ii) to Φ^* (with $v_i =$ column i of X, $B = H^*$) we find $a^*_i = \text{tr}(E_i A^*)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $x^*_i = \text{tr}(E_i A^* E_{i-1} A^*)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Therefore (116) is the dual MPS of Φ. From the construction we find (117) (resp. (118)) is the eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of Φ. We show Φ is uniquely determined by (115)–(118) up to isomorphism of Leonard systems. Recall that Φ is determined up to isomorphism of Leonard systems by its own parameter array. We show the parameter array of Φ is determined by (115)–(118). Recall the parameter array consists of the eigenvalue sequence, the dual eigenvalue sequence, the first split sequence and the second split sequence. We mentioned earlier that the eigenvalue sequence of Φ is (117) and the dual eigenvalue sequence of Φ is (118). By Lemma 23.3 the first split sequence of Φ is determined by (115)–(118). By this and Theorem 23.7 we find the second split sequence of Φ is determined by (115)–(118). We have now shown the parameter array of Φ is determined by (115)–(118). We now see that Φ is uniquely determined by (115)–(118) up to isomorphism of Leonard systems. $\square$

26 Leonard pairs A, A^* with A lower bidiagonal and A^* upper bidiagonal

Let A, A^* denote matrices in $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. Let us assume A is lower bidiagonal and A^* is upper bidiagonal. We cite a necessary and sufficient condition for A, A^* to be a Leonard pair.

Theorem 26.1 *[94, Theorem 17.1] Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let A, A^* denote matrices in $Mat_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. Assume A lower bidiagonal and A^* is upper bidiagonal. Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) The pair A, A^* is a Leonard pair.

(ii) There exists a parameter array $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ over $\mathbb{K}$ such that

$$A_{ii} = \theta_i, \qquad A^*_{ii} = \theta^*_i \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$
$$A_{i,i-1}A^*_{i-1,i} = \varphi_i \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

27 Leonard pairs A, A^* with A tridiagonal and A^* diagonal

Let A, A^* denote matrices in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. Let us assume A is tridiagonal and A^* is diagonal. We cite a necessary and sufficient condition for A, A^* to be a Leonard pair.

Theorem 27.1 *[94, Theorem 25.1] Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let A, A^* denote matrices in $Mat_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$. Assume A is tridiagonal and A^* is diagonal. Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) The pair A, A^* is a Leonard pair.

(ii) There exists a parameter array $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ over $\mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{ii} &= \theta_i + \frac{\varphi_i}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i-1}} + \frac{\varphi_{i+1}}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}} \qquad (0 \le i \le d), \\
A_{i,i-1}A_{i-1,i} &= \varphi_i\phi_i \frac{\prod_{h=0}^{i-2}(\theta^*_{i-1} - \theta^*_h)}{\prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_h)} \frac{\prod_{h=i+1}^{d}(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_h)}{\prod_{h=i}^{d}(\theta^*_{i-1} - \theta^*_h)} \qquad (1 \le i \le d), \\
A^*_{ii} &= \theta^*_i \qquad (0 \le i \le d).
\end{aligned}$$

28 A characterization of the parameter arrays I

In this section we cite a characterization of the parameter arrays in terms of bidiagonal matrices. We will refer to the following set-up.

Definition 28.1 *Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$. We assume this sequence satisfies PA1 and PA2.*

Theorem 28.2 *[98, Theorem 3.2] With reference to Definition 28.1, the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) The sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ satisfies PA3–PA5.

(ii) There exists an invertible matrix $G \in Mat_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ such that both

$$G^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix} G = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_d & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_{d-1} & & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_{d-2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & \theta_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \theta^*_0 & \varphi_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta^*_1 & \varphi_2 & & & \\ & & \theta^*_2 & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \varphi_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & \theta^*_d \end{pmatrix} G = \begin{pmatrix} \theta^*_0 & \phi_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta^*_1 & \phi_2 & & & \\ & & \theta^*_2 & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \phi_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & \theta^*_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

29 A characterization of the parameter arrays II

In this section we cite a characterization of the parameter arrays in terms of polynomials.

Theorem 29.1 *[98, Theorem 4.1] With reference to Definition 28.1, the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) The sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ *satisfies PA3–PA5.*

(ii) For $0 \leq i \leq d$ *the polynomial*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{i} \frac{(\lambda-\theta_0)(\lambda-\theta_1)\cdots(\lambda-\theta_{n-1})(\theta^*_i-\theta^*_0)(\theta^*_i-\theta^*_1)\cdots(\theta^*_i-\theta^*_{n-1})}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_n} \tag{121}$$

is a scalar multiple of the polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{i} \frac{(\lambda-\theta_d)(\lambda-\theta_{d-1})\cdots(\lambda-\theta_{d-n+1})(\theta^*_i-\theta^*_0)(\theta^*_i-\theta^*_1)\cdots(\theta^*_i-\theta^*_{n-1})}{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_n}.$$

30 The Askey-Wilson relations

We turn our attention to the representation theoretic aspects of Leonard pairs.

Theorem 30.1 *[100, Theorem 1.5] Let* V *denote a vector space over* $\mathbb{K}$ *with finite positive dimension. Let* A, A^* *denote a Leonard pair on* V*. Then there exists a sequence of scalars* $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ *taken from* $\mathbb{K}$ *such that both*

$$\begin{aligned} A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma\,(AA^*+A^*A) - \varrho\, A^* &= \gamma^*A^2 + \omega A + \eta\, I, && (122)\\ A^{*2}A - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - \gamma^*(A^*A+AA^*) - \varrho^*A &= \gamma A^{*2} + \omega A^* + \eta^* I. && (123)\end{aligned}$$

The sequence is uniquely determined by the pair A, A^* *provided the diameter* $d \geq 3$.

We refer to (122), (123) as the *Askey-Wilson relations.* As far as we know these relations first appeared in [102].

Our next result is a kind of converse to Theorem 30.1.

Theorem 30.2 *[100, Theorem 6.2] Given a sequence of scalars* $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ *taken from* $\mathbb{K}$*, we let* A_w *denote the unital associative* $\mathbb{K}$*-algebra generated by two symbols* A, A^* *subject to the relations (122), (123). Let* V *denote a finite dimensional irreducible* A_w*-module and assume each of* A, A^* *is multiplicity-free on* V*. Then* A, A^* *act on* V *as a Leonard pair provided* q *is not a root of unity, where* $q + q^{-1} = \beta$*.*

The algebra A_w in Theorem 30.2 is called the *Askey-Wilson algebra* [102].

We finish this section with an open problem.

Problem 30.3 Let A_w denote the Askey-Wilson algebra from Theorem 30.2. Let V denote an irreducible A_w-module with either finite or countably infinite dimension. We say V has *polynomial type* whenever there exists a basis $v_0, v_1, \dots$ for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is diagonal. Determine up to isomorphism the irreducible A_w-modules of polynomial type. We expect that the solutions correspond to the entire Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials.

Remark 30.4 The papers [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [92], [102], [103], [104] contain some results related to Problem 30.3, but a complete and rigorous treatment has yet to be carried out. See also the work of Grünbaum and Haine on the "bispectral problem" [38], [39], [40], [41], [42], [43], [44] as well as [6], [7], [8], [11, p. 263], [65], [77], [78], [79], [80].

Remark 30.5 Referring to Theorem 30.2, for the special case $\beta = q + q^{-1}$, $\gamma = \gamma^* = 0$, $\omega = 0$, $\eta = \eta^* = 0$ the Askey-Wilson algebra is related to the quantum groups $U_q(\mathrm{su}_2)$, $U_q(\mathrm{so}_3)$ [16, Theorem 8.10], [27], [45], [81] as well as the bipartite 2-homogeneous distance-regular graphs [17, Lemma 3.3], [18], [31, p. 427].

31 Leonard pairs and the Lie algebra sl_2

In this section we assume the field $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed with characteristic zero.

We recall the Lie algebra $sl_2 = sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. This algebra has a basis e, f, h satisfying

$$[h, e] = 2e, \qquad [h, f] = -2f, \qquad [e, f] = h,$$

where $[\,,\,]$ denotes the Lie bracket.

We recall the irreducible finite dimensional modules for sl_2.

Lemma 31.1 *[51, p. 102] There exists a family*

$$V_d \qquad\qquad d = 0, 1, 2 \dots \tag{124}$$

of irreducible finite dimensional sl_2-modules with the following properties. The module V_d has a basis $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d$ satisfying $hv_i = (d-2i)v_i$ for $0 \le i \le d$, $fv_i = (i+1)v_{i+1}$ for $0 \le i \le d-1$, $fv_d = 0$, $ev_i = (d-i+1)v_{i-1}$ for $1 \le i \le d$, $ev_0 = 0$. Every irreducible finite dimensional sl_2-module is isomorphic to exactly one of the modules in line (124).

Example 31.2 *Let A and A^* denote the following elements of sl_2.*

$$A = e + f, \qquad\qquad A^* = h.$$

Let d denote a nonnegative integer and consider the action of A, A^* on the module V_d. With respect to the basis $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d$ from Lemma 31.1, the matrices representing A and A^* are

$$A: \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & d & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & 0 & d-1 & & & \\ & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & d & 0 \end{array}\right), \qquad A^*: \ \mathit{diag}(d, d-2, d-4, \dots, -d).$$

The pair A, A^* acts on V_d as a Leonard pair. The resulting Leonard pair is isomorphic to the one in (2).

The Leonard pairs in Example 31.2 are not the only ones associated with sl_2. To get more Leonard pairs we replace A and A^* by more general elements in sl_2. Our result is the following.

Theorem 31.3 *[46, Ex. 1.5] Let A and A^* denote semi-simple elements in sl_2 and assume sl_2 is generated by these elements. Let V denote an irreducible finite dimensional module for sl_2. Then the pair A, A^* acts on V as a Leonard pair.*

We remark the Leonard pairs in Theorem 31.3 correspond to the Krawtchouk polynomials [55].

32 Leonard pairs and the quantum algebra $U_q(sl_2)$

In this section we assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. We fix a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathbb{K}$ that is not a root of unity. We recall the quantum algebra $U_q(sl_2)$.

Definition 32.1 *[51, p.122] Let $U_q(sl_2)$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with generators e, f, k, k^{-1} and relations*

$$kk^{-1} = k^{-1}k = 1,$$

$$ke = q^2 ek, \qquad\qquad kf = q^{-2} fk,$$

$$ef - fe = \frac{k - k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

We recall the irreducible finite dimensional modules for $U_q(sl_2)$. We use the following notation.

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \qquad\qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 32.2 *[51, p. 128] With reference to Definition 32.1, there exists a family*

$$V_{\varepsilon,d} \qquad \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}, \qquad d = 0, 1, 2 \ldots \tag{125}$$

of irreducible finite dimensional $U_q(sl_2)$-modules with the following properties. The module $V_{\varepsilon,d}$ has a basis $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d$ satisfying $ku_i = \varepsilon q^{d-2i} u_i$ for $0 \le i \le d$, $fu_i = [i+1]_q u_{i+1}$ for $0 \le i \le d-1$, $fu_d = 0$, $eu_i = \varepsilon [d-i+1]_q u_{i-1}$ for $1 \le i \le d$, $eu_0 = 0$. Every irreducible finite dimensional $U_q(sl_2)$-module is isomorphic to exactly one of the modules $V_{\varepsilon,d}$. (Referring to line (125), if $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic 2 we interpret the set $\{1, -1\}$ as having a single element.)

Theorem 32.3 *[58], [59], [96] Referring to Definition 32.1 and Lemma 32.2, let α, β denote nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ and define A, A^* as follows.*

$$A = \alpha f + \frac{k}{q - q^{-1}}, \qquad A^* = \beta e + \frac{k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Let d denote a nonnegative integer and choose $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Then the pair A, A^* acts on $V_{\varepsilon,d}$ as a Leonard pair provided $\varepsilon\alpha\beta$ is not among $q^{d-1}, q^{d-3}, \ldots, q^{1-d}$.

We remark the Leonard pairs in Theorem 32.3 correspond to the quantum q-Krawtchouk polynomials [55], [57].

33 Leonard pairs in combinatorics

Leonard pairs arise in many branches of combinatorics. For instance they arise in the theory of partially ordered sets (posets). We illustrate this with a poset called the subspace lattice $L_n(q)$.

In this section we assume our field $\mathbb{K}$ is the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.

To define the subspace lattice we introduce a second field. Let $GF(q)$ denote a finite field of order q. Let n denote a positive integer and let W denote an n-dimensional vector space over $GF(q)$. Let P denote the set consisting of all subspaces of W. The set P, together with the containment relation, is a poset called $L_n(q)$.

Using $L_n(q)$ we obtain a family of Leonard pairs as follows. Let $\mathbb{C}P$ denote the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ consisting of all formal $\mathbb{C}$-linear combinations of elements of P. We observe P is a basis for $\mathbb{C}P$ so the dimension of $\mathbb{C}P$ is equal to the cardinality of P.

We define three linear transformations on $\mathbb{C}P$. We call these K, R (for "raising"), L (for "lowering").

We begin with K. For all $x \in P$,

$$Kx = q^{n/2 - dim\, x} x.$$

Apparently each element of P is an eigenvector for K.

To define R and L we use the following notation. For $x, y \in P$ we say y *covers* x whenever (i) $x \subseteq y$ and (ii) $\dim y = 1 + \dim x$.

The maps R and L are defined as follows. For all $x \in P$,

$$Rx = \sum_{y\ covers\ x} y.$$

Similarly

$$Lx = q^{(1-n)/2} \sum_{x\ covers\ y} y.$$

(The scalar $q^{(1-n)/2}$ is included for aesthetic reasons.)

We consider the properties of K, R, L. From the construction we find K^{-1} exists. By combinatorial counting we verify

$$KL = qLK, \qquad\qquad KR = q^{-1}RK,$$
$$LR - RL = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}.$$

We recognize these equations. They are the defining relations for $U_{q^{1/2}}(sl_2)$. Apparently K, R, L turn $\mathbb{C}P$ into a module for $U_{q^{1/2}}(sl_2)$.

We now see how to get Leonard pairs from $L_n(q)$. Let α, β denote nonzero complex scalars and define A, A^* as follows.

$$A = \alpha R + \frac{K}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}, \qquad\qquad A^* = \beta L + \frac{K^{-1}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}.$$

To avoid degenerate situations we assume $\alpha\beta$ is not among $q^{(n-1)/2}, q^{(n-3)/2}, \ldots, q^{(1-n)/2}$.

The $U_{q^{1/2}}(sl_2)$-module $\mathbb{C}P$ is completely reducible [51, p. 144]. In other words $\mathbb{C}P$ is a direct sum of irreducible $U_{q^{1/2}}(sl_2)$-modules. On each irreducible module in this sum the pair A, A^* acts as a Leonard pair. This follows from Theorem 32.3.

We just saw how the subspace lattice gives Leonard pairs. We expect that some other classical posets, such as the polar spaces and attenuated spaces [88], give Leonard pairs in a similar fashion. However the details remain to be worked out. See [88] for more information on this topic.

Another combinatorial object that gives Leonard pairs is a P- and Q-polynomial association scheme [11], [13], [89]. Leonard pairs have been used to describe certain irreducible modules for the subconstituent algebra of these schemes [14], [18], [19], [46], [89].

34 Tridiagonal pairs

There is a mild generalization of a Leonard pair called a *tridiagonal pair* [46], [47], [48], [92]. In order to define this, we use the following terms. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $A : V \to V$ denote a linear transformation and let W denote a subspace of V. We call W an *eigenspace* of A whenever $W \neq 0$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$W = \{v \in V \mid Av = \theta v\}.$$

We say A is *diagonalizable* whenever V is spanned by the eigenspaces of A.

Definition 34.1 *[46, Definition 1.1]* Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *tridiagonal pair* on V, we mean an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy the following four conditions.

(i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^* V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{126}$$

where $V_{-1} = 0$, $V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $V^*_0, V^*_1, \ldots, V^*_\delta$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$A V^*_i \subseteq V^*_{i-1} + V^*_i + V^*_{i+1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq \delta), \tag{127}$$

where $V^*_{-1} = 0$, $V^*_{\delta+1} = 0$.

(iv) There does not exist a subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

The following problem is open.

Problem 34.2 Classify the tridiagonal pairs.

For the rest of this section we discuss what is known about tridiagonal pairs, and give some conjectures.

Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V and let the integers d, δ be as in Definition 34.1(ii), (iii) respectively. By [46, Lemma 4.5] we have $d = \delta$; we call this common value the *diameter* of the pair. An ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) will be called *standard* whenever it satisfies (126) (resp. (127)). We comment on the uniqueness of the standard ordering. Let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A. Then the ordering $V_d, V_{d-1}, \ldots, V_0$ is standard and no other ordering is standard. A similar result holds for the eigenspaces of A^*. Let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ (resp. $V^*_0, V^*_1, \ldots, V^*_d$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*). By [46, Corollary 5.7], for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the spaces V_i, V^*_i have the same dimension; we denote this common dimension by ρ_i. By the construction $\rho_i \neq 0$. By [46, Corollary 5.7] and [46, Corollary 6.6], the sequence $\rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_d$ is symmetric and unimodal; that is $\rho_i = \rho_{d-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $\rho_{i-1} \leq \rho_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d/2$. We refer to the sequence $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_d)$ as the *shape vector* of A, A^*. A Leonard pair is the same thing as a tridiagonal pair that has shape vector $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

Conjecture 34.3 *[46, Conjecture 13.5] Referring to Definition 34.1, assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed and let $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_d)$ denote the shape vector for A, A^*. Then the entries in this shape vector are bounded above by binomial coefficients as follows:*

$$\rho_i \leq \binom{d}{i} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

See [47] for some partial results on Conjecture 34.3. We now give some examples of tridiagonal pairs.

Example 34.4 *[46, Example 1.6]* Assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed with characteristic 0. Let b, b^* denote nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{K}$. Let O denote the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by symbols A, A^* subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [A,[A,[A,A^*]]] &= b^2[A,A^*], & (128)\\ [A^*,[A^*,[A^*,A]]] &= b^{*2}[A^*,A]. & (129) \end{aligned}$$

Let V denote a finite dimensional irreducible O-module. Then A, A^* act on V as a tridiagonal pair.

Remark 34.5 The algebra O from Example 34.4 is called the *Onsager algebra*. It first appeared in the seminal paper by Onsager [82] in which the free energy of the two dimensional Ising model was computed exactly. Onsager presented his algebra by displaying a basis; the above presentation using generators and relations (128), (129) was established by Perk [83]. The relations themselves first appeared in work of Dolan and Grady [26]. A few years later they were used by von Gehlen and Rittenberg [30] to describe the superintegrable chiral Potts model. In [84] Roan observed that O is isomorphic to the invariant subalgebra of the loop algebra $\mathbb{K}[t,t^{-1}] \otimes sl_2$ by an involution. Of course this last result was not available to Onsager since his discovery predates the invention of Kac-Moody algebras by some 25 years. See [1], [2], [3], [9], [10], [12], [23], [25], [66], [101] for recent work involving the Onsager algebra and integrable lattice models. The equations (128), (129) are called the *Dolan-Grady* relations [22], [24], [52], [53], [54], [63].

Example 34.6 *[46, Example 1.7]* Assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed, and let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ that is not a root of unity. Let $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)^{>0}$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra generated by symbols A, A^* subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= A^3A^* - [3]_qA^2A^*A + [3]_qAA^*A^2 - A^*A^3, & (130)\\ 0 &= A^{*3}A - [3]_qA^{*2}AA^* + [3]_qA^*AA^{*2} - AA^{*3}. & (131) \end{aligned}$$

Let V denote a finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)^{>0}$-module and assume neither of A, A^* is nilpotent on V. Then A, A^* act on V as a tridiagonal pair.

Remark 34.7 The equations (130), (131) are known as the *q-Serre relations*, and are among the defining relations for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ [15], [50]. The algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)^{>0}$ is called the *positive part* of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. The tridiagonal pairs from Example 34.6 are said to have *q-geometric type.*

In order to get the most general tridiagonal pairs, we consider a pair of relations that generalize both the Dolan-Grady relations and the q-Serre relations. We call these the *tridiagonal relations*. These relations are given as follows.

Theorem 34.8 *[46, Theorem 10.1] Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V. Then there exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ taken from $\mathbb{K}$ such that both*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [A, A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho A^*] & (132)\\ 0 &= [A^*, A^{*2}A - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - \gamma^*(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho^* A], & (133) \end{aligned}$$

where $[r,s]$ means $rs - sr$. The sequence is unique if the diameter $d \geq 3$.

We call (132), (133) the *tridiagonal relations* [92]. As far as we know these relations first appeared in [90, Lemma 5.4].

Remark 34.9 The Dolan-Grady relations (128), (129) are the tridiagonal relations with parameters $\beta = 2$, $\gamma = \gamma^* = 0$, $\varrho = b^2$, $\varrho^* = b^{*2}$, if we interpret the bracket in (128), (129) as $[r, s] = rs - sr$. The q-Serre relations (130), (131) are the tridiagonal relations with parameters $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$, $\gamma = \gamma^* = 0$, $\varrho = \varrho^* = 0$.

Our next result is a kind of converse to Theorem 34.8.

Theorem 34.10 *[92, Theorem 3.10]* Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$. Let T denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra generated by symbols A, A^* subject to the tridiagonal relations (132), (133). Let V denote an irreducible finite dimensional T-module and assume each of A, A^* is diagonalizable on V. Then A, A^* act on V as a tridiagonal pair provided q is not a root of unity, where $q + q^{-1} = \beta$.

Remark 34.11 The algebra T in Theorem 34.10 is called the *tridiagonal algebra* [46], [91], [92].

So far in our research on tridiagonal pairs, our strongest result concerns the case of q-geometric type. In order to describe this result we define one more algebra. In what follows $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the cyclic group of order 4.

Definition 34.12 [49] Let q denote a nonzero element of $\mathbb{K}$ such that $q^2 \neq 1$. Let $\boxtimes_q$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra that has generators

$$\{x_{ij} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_4,\ j - i = 1 \text{ or } j - i = 2\}$$

and the following relations:

(i) For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that $j - i = 2$,

$$x_{ij}x_{ji} = 1.$$

(ii) For $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that the pair $(j - i, k - j)$ is one of $(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)$,

$$\frac{qx_{ij}x_{jk} - q^{-1}x_{jk}x_{ij}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1.$$

(iii) For $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that $j - i = k - j = \ell - k = 1$,

$$x_{ij}^3 x_{k\ell} - [3]_q x_{ij}^2 x_{k\ell} x_{ij} + [3]_q x_{ij} x_{k\ell} x_{ij}^2 - x_{k\ell} x_{ij}^3 = 0.$$

We call $\boxtimes_q$ the *q-tetrahedron algebra.*

Remark 34.13 The algebra $\boxtimes_q$ is closely related to the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$; see [49] for the details.

Theorem 34.14 *[49, Section 10] Assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. Let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ that is not a root of unity. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V that has q-geometric type. Then there exists an irreducible $\boxtimes_q$-module structure on V such that A acts as a scalar multiple of x_{01} and A^* acts as a scalar multiple of x_{23}. Conversely, let V denote a finite dimensional irreducible $\boxtimes_q$-module. Then the generators x_{01}, x_{23} act on V as a tridiagonal pair of q-geometric type.*

We end this section with a conjecture.

Conjecture 34.15 *Assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V. To avoid degenerate situations we assume q is not a root of unity, where $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$, and where β is from Theorem 34.8. Then referring to Definition 34.12, there exists an irreducible $\boxtimes_q$-module structure on V such that A acts as a linear combination of x_{01}, x_{12}, I and A^* acts as a linear combination of x_{23}, x_{30}, I.*

35 Appendix: List of parameter arrays

In this section we display all the parameter arrays over $\mathbb{K}$. We will use the following notatation.

Definition 35.1 Let $p = (\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we let u_i denote the following polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$.

$$u_i = \sum_{n=0}^{i} \frac{(\lambda - \theta_0)(\lambda - \theta_1)\cdots(\lambda - \theta_{n-1})(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_0)(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_1)\cdots(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{n-1})}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_n}. \tag{134}$$

We call $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d$ *the polynomials that correspond to p.*

We now display all the parameter arrays over $\mathbb{K}$. For each displayed array $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ we present $u_i(\theta_j)$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$, where $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d$ are the corresponding polynomials. Our presentation is organized as follows. In each of Example 35.2–35.14 below we give a family of parameter arrays over $\mathbb{K}$. In Theorem 35.15 we show every parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$ is contained in at least one of these families.

In each of Example 35.2–35.14 below the following implicit assumptions apply: d denotes a nonnegative integer, the scalars $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ are contained in $\mathbb{K}$, and the scalars $q, h, h^* \ldots$ are contained in the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{K}$.

Example 35.2 *(q-Racah) Assume*

$$\theta_i = \theta_0 + h(1 - q^i)(1 - sq^{i+1})q^{-i}, \tag{135}$$
$$\theta^*_i = \theta^*_0 + h^*(1 - q^i)(1 - s^*q^{i+1})q^{-i} \tag{136}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and

$$\varphi_i = hh^*q^{1-2i}(1 - q^i)(1 - q^{i-d-1})(1 - r_1q^i)(1 - r_2q^i), \tag{137}$$
$$\phi_i = hh^*q^{1-2i}(1 - q^i)(1 - q^{i-d-1})(r_1 - s^*q^i)(r_2 - s^*q^i)/s^* \tag{138}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$*. Assume* $h, h^*, q, s, s^*, r_1, r_2$ *are nonzero and* $r_1 r_2 = ss^* q^{d+1}$*. Assume none of* $q^i, r_1 q^i, r_2 q^i, s^* q^i / r_1,\ s^* q^i / r_2$ *is equal to* 1 *for* $1 \leq i \leq d$ *and that neither of* $sq^i, s^* q^i$ *is equal to* 1 *for* $2 \leq i \leq 2d$*. Then* $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ *is a parameter array over* $\mathbb{K}$*. The corresponding polynomials* u_i *satisfy*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_4\phi_3\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i},\ s^* q^{i+1},\ q^{-j},\ sq^{j+1} \\ r_1 q,\ \ r_2 q,\ \ q^{-d} \end{matrix} \;\middle|\; q,\ q \right)$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$*. These* u_i *are the q-Racah polynomials.*

Example 35.3 *(q-Hahn) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &= \theta_0 + h(1 - q^i) q^{-i}, \\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(1 - q^i)(1 - s^* q^{i+1}) q^{-i} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= hh^* q^{1-2i}(1 - q^i)(1 - q^{i-d-1})(1 - rq^i), \\ \phi_i &= -hh^* q^{1-i}(1 - q^i)(1 - q^{i-d-1})(r - s^* q^i) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$*. Assume* h, h^*, q, s^*, r *are nonzero. Assume none of* $q^i, rq^i, s^* q^i / r$ *is equal to* 1 *for* $1 \leq i \leq d$ *and that* $s^* q^i \neq 1$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq 2d$*. Then the sequence* $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ *is a parameter array over* $\mathbb{K}$*. The corresponding polynomials* u_i *satisfy*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i},\ s^* q^{i+1},\ q^{-j} \\ rq,\ \ q^{-d} \end{matrix} \;\middle|\; q,\ q \right)$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$*. These* u_i *are the q-Hahn polynomials.*

Example 35.4 *(Dual q-Hahn) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &= \theta_0 + h(1 - q^i)(1 - sq^{i+1}) q^{-i}, \\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(1 - q^i) q^{-i} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= hh^* q^{1-2i}(1 - q^i)(1 - q^{i-d-1})(1 - rq^i), \\ \phi_i &= hh^* q^{d+2-2i}(1 - q^i)(1 - q^{i-d-1})(s - rq^{i-d-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$*. Assume* h, h^*, q, r, s *are nonzero. Assume none of* $q^i, rq^i, sq^i / r$ *is equal to* 1 *for* $1 \leq i \leq d$ *and that* $sq^i \neq 1$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq 2d$*. Then the sequence* $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ *is a parameter array over* $\mathbb{K}$*. The corresponding polynomials* u_i *satisfy*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i},\ q^{-j},\ sq^{j+1} \\ rq,\ \ q^{-d} \end{matrix} \;\middle|\; q,\ q \right)$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$*. These* u_i *are the dual q-Hahn polynomials.*

Example 35.5 *(Quantum q-Krawtchouk) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= \theta_0 - sq(1-q^i),\\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(1-q^i)q^{-i}\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= -rh^*q^{1-i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1}),\\ \phi_i &= h^*q^{d+2-2i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1})(s-rq^{i-d-1})\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. *Assume* h^*, q, r, s *are nonzero. Assume neither of* $q^i, sq^i/r$ *is equal to* 1 *for* $1 \leq i \leq d$. *Then the sequence* $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ *is a parameter array over* $\mathbb{K}$. *The corresponding polynomials* u_i *satisfy*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i},\ q^{-j} \\ q^{-d} \end{matrix}\ \middle|\ q,\ sr^{-1}q^{j+1}\right)$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. *These* u_i *are the quantum q-Krawtchouk polynomials.*

Example 35.6 *(q-Krawtchouk) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= \theta_0 + h(1-q^i)q^{-i},\\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(1-q^i)(1-s^*q^{i+1})q^{-i}\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= hh^*q^{1-2i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1}),\\ \phi_i &= hh^*s^*q(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1})\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. *Assume* h, h^*, q, s^* *are nonzero. Assume* $q^i \neq 1$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq d$ *and that* $s^*q^i \neq 1$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq 2d$. *Then the sequence* $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ *is a parameter array over* $\mathbb{K}$. *The corresponding polynomials* u_i *satisfy*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i},\ s^*q^{i+1},\ q^{-j} \\ 0,\ q^{-d} \end{matrix}\ \middle|\ q,\ q\right)$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. *These* u_i *are the q-Krawtchouk polynomials.*

Example 35.7 *(Affine q-Krawtchouk) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= \theta_0 + h(1-q^i)q^{-i},\\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(1-q^i)q^{-i}\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= hh^*q^{1-2i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1})(1-rq^i),\\ \phi_i &= -hh^*rq^{1-i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1})\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i \le d$. Assume h, h^*, q, r are nonzero. Assume neither of q^i, rq^i is equal to 1 for $1 \le i \le d$. Then the sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. The corresponding polynomials u_i satisfy

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i},\ 0,\ q^{-j} \\ rq,\ \ q^{-d} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, q,\ q\right)$$

for $0 \le i, j \le d$. These u_i are the affine q-Krawtchouk polynomials.

Example 35.8 *(Dual q-Krawtchouk) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &= \theta_0 + h(1-q^i)(1-sq^{i+1})q^{-i}, \\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(1-q^i)q^{-i} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le i \le d$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= hh^*q^{1-2i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1}), \\ \phi_i &= hh^*sq^{d+2-2i}(1-q^i)(1-q^{i-d-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i \le d$. Assume h, h^*, q, s are nonzero. Assume $q^i \ne 1$ for $1 \le i \le d$ and $sq^i \ne 1$ for $2 \le i \le 2d$. Then the sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. The corresponding polynomials u_i satisfy

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i},\ q^{-j}, sq^{j+1} \\ 0,\ \ q^{-d} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, q,\ q\right)$$

for $0 \le i, j \le d$. These u_i are the dual q-Krawtchouk polynomials.

Example 35.9 *(Racah) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &= \theta_0 + hi(i+1+s), && (139) \\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*i(i+1+s^*) && (140) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le i \le d$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= hh^*i(i-d-1)(i+r_1)(i+r_2), && (141) \\ \phi_i &= hh^*i(i-d-1)(i+s^*-r_1)(i+s^*-r_2) && (142) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i \le d$. Assume h, h^* are nonzero and that $r_1 + r_2 = s + s^* + d + 1$. Assume the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is 0 or a prime greater than d. Assume none of $r_1, r_2, s^* - r_1,\ s^* - r_2$ is equal to $-i$ for $1 \le i \le d$ and that neither of s, s^* is equal to $-i$ for $2 \le i \le 2d$. Then the sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. The corresponding polynomials u_i satisfy

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_4F_3\left(\begin{matrix} -i,\ i+1+s^*,\ -j,\ j+1+s \\ r_1+1,\ \ r_2+1,\ \ -d \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, 1\right)$$

for $0 \le i, j \le d$. These u_i are the Racah polynomials.

Example 35.10 *(Hahn) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= \theta_0 + si,\\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^* i(i+1+s^*)\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= h^* s i(i-d-1)(i+r),\\ \phi_i &= -h^* s i(i-d-1)(i+s^*-r)\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. *Assume* h^*, s *are nonzero. Assume the characteristic of* $\mathbb{K}$ *is* 0 *or a prime greater than* d. *Assume neither of* $r, s^* - r$ *is equal to* $-i$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq d$ *and that* $s^* \neq -i$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq 2d$. *Then the sequence* $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ *is a parameter array over* $\mathbb{K}$. *The corresponding polynomials* u_i *satisfy*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-i,\ i+1+s^*,\ -j\\ r+1,\ -d\end{matrix}\ \middle|\ 1\right)$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. *These* u_i *are the Hahn polynomials.*

Example 35.11 *(Dual Hahn) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= \theta_0 + hi(i+1+s),\\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + s^* i\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= hs^* i(i-d-1)(i+r),\\ \phi_i &= hs^* i(i-d-1)(i+r-s-d-1)\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. *Assume* h, s^* *are nonzero. Assume the characteristic of* $\mathbb{K}$ *is* 0 *or a prime greater than* d. *Assume neither of* $r, s - r$ *is equal to* $-i$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq d$ *and that* $s \neq -i$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq 2d$. *Then the sequence* $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ *is a parameter array over* $\mathbb{K}$. *The corresponding polynomials* u_i *satisfy*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-i,\ -j,\ j+1+s\\ r+1,\ -d\end{matrix}\ \middle|\ 1\right)$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. *These* u_i *are the dual Hahn polynomials.*

Example 35.12 *(Krawtchouk) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= \theta_0 + si,\\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + s^* i\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= ri(i-d-1)\\ \phi_i &= (r-ss^*)i(i-d-1)\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Assume r, s, s^* are nonzero. Assume the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is 0 or a prime greater than d. Assume $r \neq ss^*$. Then the sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. The corresponding polynomials u_i satisfy

$$u_i(\theta_j) = {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -i, \ -j \\ -d \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, r^{-1}ss^*\right)$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. These u_i are the Krawtchouk polynomials.

Example 35.13 *(Bannai/Ito) Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &= \theta_0 + h(s - 1 + (1 - s + 2i)(-1)^i), && (143) \\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(s^* - 1 + (1 - s^* + 2i)(-1)^i) && (144) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} -4hh^*i(i + r_1), & \text{if } i \text{ even, } d \text{ even;} \\ -4hh^*(i - d - 1)(i + r_2), & \text{if } i \text{ odd, } d \text{ even;} \\ -4hh^*i(i - d - 1), & \text{if } i \text{ even, } d \text{ odd;} \\ -4hh^*(i + r_1)(i + r_2), & \text{if } i \text{ odd, } d \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \tag{145}$$

$$\phi_i = \begin{cases} 4hh^*i(i - s^* - r_1), & \text{if } i \text{ even, } d \text{ even;} \\ 4hh^*(i - d - 1)(i - s^* - r_2), & \text{if } i \text{ odd, } d \text{ even;} \\ -4hh^*i(i - d - 1), & \text{if } i \text{ even, } d \text{ odd;} \\ -4hh^*(i - s^* - r_1)(i - s^* - r_2), & \text{if } i \text{ odd, } d \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{146}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Assume h, h^* are nonzero and that $r_1 + r_2 = -s - s^* + d + 1$. Assume the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is either 0 or an odd prime greater than $d/2$. Assume neither of $r_1, -s^* - r_1$ is equal to $-i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, $d - i$ even. Assume neither of r_2, $-s^* - r_2$ is equal to $-i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, i odd. Assume neither of s, s^* is equal to $2i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then the sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. We call the corresponding polynomials from Definition 35.1 the Bannai/Ito polynomials [11, p. 260].

Example 35.14 *(Orphan) For this example assume $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic 2. For notational convenience we define some scalars $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ in $\mathbb{K}$. We define $\gamma_i = 0$ for $i \in \{0, 3\}$ and $\gamma_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &= \theta_0 + h(si + \gamma_i), && (147) \\ \theta^*_i &= \theta^*_0 + h^*(s^*i + \gamma_i) && (148) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Assume $\varphi_1 = hh^*r$, $\varphi_2 = hh^*$, $\varphi_3 = hh^*(r+s+s^*)$ and $\phi_1 = hh^*(r+s(1+s^*))$, $\phi_2 = hh^*$, $\phi_3 = hh^*(r + s^*(1 + s))$. Assume each of h, h^*, s, s^*, r is nonzero. Assume neither of s, s^* is equal to 1 and that r is equal to none of $s + s^*$, $s(1 + s^*)$, $s^*(1 + s)$. Then the sequence $(\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..3; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..3)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$ which has diameter 3. We call the corresponding polynomials from Definition 35.1 the orphan polynomials.

Theorem 35.15 *Every parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$ is listed in at least one of the Examples 35.2–35.14.*

Proof: Let $p := (\theta_i, \theta^*_i, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote a parameter array over $\mathbb{K}$. We show this array is given in at least one of the Examples 35.2–35.14. We assume $d \geq 1$; otherwise the result is trivial. For notational convenience let $\tilde{K}$ denote the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{K}$. We define a scalar $q \in \tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ as follows. For $d \geq 3$, we let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $q + q^{-1} + 1$ is equal to the common value of (82). For $d < 3$ we let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $q \neq 1$ and $q \neq -1$. By PA5, both

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{i-2} - \xi\theta_{i-1} + \xi\theta_i - \theta_{i+1} &= 0, && (149) \\ \theta^*_{i-2} - \xi\theta^*_{i-1} + \xi\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1} &= 0 && (150) \end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$, where $\xi = q + q^{-1} + 1$. We divide the argument into the following four cases. (I) $q \neq 1$, $q \neq -1$; (II) $q = 1$ and $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$; (III) $q = -1$ and $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$; (IV) $q = 1$ and $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$.

Case I: $q \neq 1$, $q \neq -1$.
By (149) there exist scalars η, μ, h in $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that

$$\theta_i = \eta + \mu q^i + h q^{-i} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{151}$$

By (150) there exist scalars η^*, μ^*, h^* in $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that

$$\theta^*_i = \eta^* + \mu^* q^i + h^* q^{-i} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{152}$$

Observe μ, h are not both 0; otherwise $\theta_1 = \theta_0$ by (151). Similarly μ^*, h^* are not both 0. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $q^i \neq 1$; otherwise $\theta_i = \theta_0$ by (151). Setting $i = 0$ in (151), (152) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0 &= \eta + \mu + h, && (153) \\ \theta^*_0 &= \eta^* + \mu^* + h^*. && (154) \end{aligned}$$

We claim there exists $\tau \in \tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that both

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= (q^i - 1)(q^{d-i+1} - 1)(\tau - \mu\mu^* q^{i-1} - hh^* q^{-i-d}), && (155) \\ \phi_i &= (q^i - 1)(q^{d-i+1} - 1)(\tau - h\mu^* q^{i-d-1} - \mu h^* q^{-i}) && (156) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Since $q \neq 1$ and $q^d \neq 1$ there exists $\tau \in \tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that (155) holds for $i = 1$. In the equation of PA4, we eliminate φ_1 using (155) at $i = 1$, and evaluate the result using (151), (152) in order to obtain (156) for $1 \leq i \leq d$. In the equation of PA3, we eliminate ϕ_1 using (156) at $i = 1$, and evaluate the result using (151), (152) in order to obtain (155) for $1 \leq i \leq d$. We have now proved the claim. We now break the argument into subcases. For each subcase our argument is similar. We will discuss the first subcase in detail in order to give the idea; for the remaining subcases we give the essentials only.

Subcase q-Racah: $\mu \neq 0, \mu^* \neq 0, h \neq 0, h^* \neq 0$. We show p is listed in Example 35.2. Define

$$s := \mu h^{-1} q^{-1}, \qquad s^* := \mu^* h^{*-1} q^{-1}. \tag{157}$$

Eliminating η in (151) using (153) and eliminating μ in the result using the equation on the left in (157), we obtain (135) for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Similarly we obtain (136) for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Since $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ is algebraically closed it contains scalars r_1, r_2 such that both

$$r_1 r_2 = ss^* q^{d+1}, \qquad r_1 + r_2 = \tau h^{-1} h^{*-1} q^d. \tag{158}$$

Eliminating μ, μ^*, τ in (155), (156) using (157) and the equation on the right in (158), and evaluating the result using the equation on the left in (158), we obtain (137), (138) for $1 \leq i \leq d$. By the construction each of h, h^*, q, s, s^* is nonzero. Each of r_1, r_2 is nonzero by the equation on the left in (158). The remaining inequalities mentioned below (138) follow from PA1, PA2 and (135)–(138). We have now shown p is listed in Example 35.2.

We now give the remaining subcases of Case I. We list the essentials only.

Subcase q-Hahn: $\mu = 0, \mu^* \neq 0, h \neq 0, h^* \neq 0, \tau \neq 0$. Definitions:

$$s^* := \mu^* h^{*-1} q^{-1}, \qquad r := \tau h^{-1} h^{*-1} q^d.$$

Subcase dual q-Hahn: $\mu \neq 0, \mu^* = 0, h \neq 0, h^* \neq 0, \tau \neq 0$. Definitions:

$$s := \mu h^{-1} q^{-1}, \qquad r := \tau h^{-1} h^{*-1} q^d.$$

Subcase quantum q-Krawtchouk: $\mu \neq 0, \mu^* = 0, h = 0, h^* \neq 0, \tau \neq 0$. Definitions:

$$s := \mu q^{-1}, \qquad r := \tau h^{*-1} q^d.$$

Subcase q-Krawtchouk: $\mu = 0, \mu^* \neq 0, h \neq 0, h^* \neq 0, \tau = 0$. Definition:

$$s^* := \mu^* h^{*-1} q^{-1}.$$

Subcase affine q-Krawtchouk: $\mu = 0, \mu^* = 0, h \neq 0, h^* \neq 0, \tau \neq 0$. Definition:

$$r := \tau h^{-1} h^{*-1} q^d.$$

Subcase dual q-Krawtchouk: $\mu \neq 0, \mu^* = 0, h \neq 0, h^* \neq 0, \tau = 0$. Definition:

$$s := \mu h^{-1} q^{-1}.$$

We have a few more comments concerning Case I. Earlier we mentioned that μ, h are not both 0 and that μ^*, h^* are not both 0. Suppose one of μ, h is 0 and one of μ^*, h^* is 0. Then $\tau \neq 0$; otherwise $\varphi_1 = 0$ by (155) or $\phi_1 = 0$ by (156). Suppose $\mu^* \neq 0$, $h^* = 0$. Replacing q by q^{-1} we obtain $\mu^* = 0$, $h^* \neq 0$. Suppose $\mu^* \neq 0$, $h^* \neq 0$, $\mu \neq 0$, $h = 0$. Replacing q by q^{-1} we obtain $\mu^* \neq 0$, $h^* \neq 0$, $\mu = 0$, $h \neq 0$. By these comments we find that after replacing q by q^{-1} if necessary, one of the above subcases holds. This completes our argument for Case I.

Case II: $q = 1$ and $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$.
By (149) and since $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$, there exist scalars η, μ, h in $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that

$$\theta_i = \eta + (\mu + h)i + hi^2 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{159}$$

Similarly there exist scalars η^*, μ^*, h^* in $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that

$$\theta^*_i = \eta^* + (\mu^* + h^*)i + h^* i^2 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{160}$$

Observe μ, h are not both 0; otherwise $\theta_1 = \theta_0$. Similarly μ^*, h^* are not both 0. For any prime i such that $i \leq d$ we have $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq i$; otherwise $\theta_i = \theta_0$ by (159). Therefore $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K})$ is 0 or a prime greater than d. Setting $i = 0$ in (159), (160) we obtain

$$\theta_0 = \eta, \qquad\qquad \theta^*_0 = \eta^*. \tag{161}$$

We claim there exists $\tau \in \tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that both

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= i(d-i+1)(\tau - (\mu h^* + h\mu^*)i - hh^*i(i+d+1)), & (162)\\ \phi_i &= i(d-i+1)(\tau + \mu\mu^* + h\mu^*(1+d) + (\mu h^* - h\mu^*)i + hh^*i(d-i+1)) & (163) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. There exists $\tau \in \tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that (162) holds for $i = 1$. In the equation of PA4, we eliminate φ_1 using (162) at $i = 1$, and evaluate the result using (159), (160) in order to obtain (163) for $1 \leq i \leq d$. In the equation of PA3, we eliminate ϕ_1 using (163) at $i = 1$, and evaluate the result using (159), (160) in order to obtain (162) for $1 \leq i \leq d$. We have now proved the claim. We now break the argument into subcases.

Subcase Racah: $h \neq 0$, $h^* \neq 0$. We show p is listed in Example 35.9. Define

$$s := \mu h^{-1}, \qquad s^* := \mu^* h^{*-1}. \tag{164}$$

Eliminating η, μ in (159) using (161), (164) we obtain (139) for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Eliminating η^*, μ^* in (160) using (161), (164) we obtain (140) for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Since $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ is algebraically closed it contains scalars r_1, r_2 such that both

$$r_1 r_2 = -\tau h^{-1} h^{*-1}, \qquad\qquad r_1 + r_2 = s + s^* + d + 1. \tag{165}$$

Eliminating μ, μ^*, τ in (162), (163) using (164) and the equation on the left in (165) we obtain (141), (142) for $1 \leq i \leq d$. By the construction each of h, h^* is nonzero. The remaining inequalities mentioned below (142) follow from PA1, PA2 and (139)–(142). We have now shown p is listed in Example 35.9.

We now give the remaining subcases of Case II. We list the essentials only.

Subcase Hahn: $h = 0$, $h^* \neq 0$. Definitions:

$$s = \mu, \qquad s^* := \mu^* h^{*-1}, \qquad r := -\tau \mu^{-1} h^{*-1}.$$

Subcase dual Hahn: $h \neq 0, h^* = 0$. Definitions:

$$s := \mu h^{-1}, \qquad s^* = \mu^*, \qquad r := -\tau h^{-1} \mu^{*-1}.$$

Subcase Krawtchouk: $h = 0, h^* = 0$. Definitions:

$$s := \mu, \qquad s^* := \mu^*, \qquad r := -\tau.$$

Case III: $q = -1$ and $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$.
We show p is listed in Example 35.13. By (149) and since $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$, there exist scalars η, μ, h in $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that

$$\theta_i = \eta + \mu(-1)^i + 2hi(-1)^i \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{166}$$

Similarly there exist scalars η^*, μ^*, h^* in $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ such that

$$\theta^*_i \quad = \quad \eta^* + \mu^*(-1)^i + 2h^*i(-1)^i \qquad\qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{167}$$

Observe $h \neq 0$; otherwise $\theta_2 = \theta_0$ by (166). Similarly $h^* \neq 0$. For any prime i such that $i \leq d/2$ we have $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq i$; otherwise $\theta_{2i} = \theta_0$ by (166). By this and since $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$ we find $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$ is either 0 or an odd prime greater than $d/2$. Setting $i = 0$ in (166), (167) we obtain

$$\theta_0 \quad = \quad \eta + \mu, \qquad\qquad \theta^*_0 = \eta^* + \mu^*. \tag{168}$$

We define

$$s := 1 - \mu h^{-1}, \qquad\qquad s^* = 1 - \mu^* h^{*-1}. \tag{169}$$

Eliminating η in (166) using (168) and eliminating μ in the result using (169) we find (143) holds for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Similarly we find (144) holds for $0 \leq i \leq d$. We now define r_1, r_2. First assume d is odd. Since $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ is algebraically closed it contains r_1, r_2 such that

$$r_1 + r_2 = -s - s^* + d + 1 \tag{170}$$

and such that

$$4hh^*(1 + r_1)(1 + r_2) = -\varphi_1. \tag{171}$$

Next assume d is even. Define

$$r_2 := -1 + \frac{\varphi_1}{4hh^*d} \tag{172}$$

and define r_1 so that (170) holds. We have now defined r_1, r_2 for either parity of d. In the equation of PA4, we eliminate φ_1 using (171) or (172), and evaluate the result using (143), (144) in order to obtain (146) for $1 \leq i \leq d$. In the equation of PA3, we eliminate ϕ_1 using (146) at $i = 1$, and evaluate the result using (143), (144) in order to obtain (145) for $1 \leq i \leq d$. We mentioned each of h, h^* is nonzero. The remaining inequalities mentioned below (146) follow from PA1, PA2 and (143)–(146). We have now shown p is listed in Example 35.13.

Case IV: $q = 1$ and $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$.
We show p is listed in Example 35.14. We first show $d = 3$. Recall $d \geq 3$ since $q = 1$. Suppose $d \geq 4$. By (149) we have $\sum_{j=0}^{3} \theta_j = 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{4} \theta_j = 0$. Adding these sums we find $\theta_0 = \theta_4$ which contradicts PA1. Therefore $d = 3$. We claim there exist nonzero scalars h, s in $\mathbb{K}$ such that (147) holds for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Define $h = \theta_0 + \theta_2$. Observe $h \neq 0$; otherwise $\theta_0 = \theta_2$. Define $s = (\theta_0 + \theta_3)h^{-1}$. Observe $s \neq 0$; otherwise $\theta_0 = \theta_3$. Using these values for h, s we find (147) holds for $i = 0, 2, 3$. By this and $\sum_{j=0}^{3} \theta_j = 0$ we find (147) holds for $i = 1$. We have now proved our claim. Similarly there exist nonzero scalars h^*, s^* in $\mathbb{K}$ such that (148) holds for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Define $r := \varphi_1 h^{-1} h^{*-1}$. Observe $r \neq 0$ and that $\varphi_1 = hh^*r$. In the equation of PA4, we eliminate φ_1 using $\varphi_1 = hh^*r$ and evaluate the result using (147), (148) in order to obtain $\phi_1 = hh^*(r + s(1 + s^*))$, $\phi_2 = hh^*$, $\phi_3 = hh^*(r + s^*(1 + s))$. In the equation of

PA3, we eliminate ϕ_1 using $\phi_1 = hh^*(r+s(1+s^*))$ and evaluate the result using (147), (148) in order to obtain $\varphi_2 = hh^*$, $\varphi_3 = hh^*(r+s+s^*)$. We mentioned each of h, h^*, s, s^*, r is nonzero. Observe $s \neq 1$; otherwise $\theta_1 = \theta_0$. Similarly $s^* \neq 1$. Observe $r \neq s + s^*$; otherwise $\varphi_3 = 0$. Observe $r \neq s(1+s^*)$; otherwise $\phi_1 = 0$. Observe $r \neq s^*(1+s)$; otherwise $\phi_3 = 0$. We have now shown p is listed in Example 35.14. We are done with Case IV and the proof is complete. □

36 Suggestions for further research

In this section we give some suggestions for further research.

Problem 36.1 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V. Let $\alpha, \alpha^*, \beta, \beta^*$ denote scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ with α, α^* nonzero, and note that the pair $\alpha A + \beta I$, $\alpha^* A^* + \beta^* I$ is a tridiagonal pair on V. Find necessary and sufficient conditions for this tridiagonal pair to be isomorphic to the tridiagonal pair A, A^*. Also, find necessary and sufficient conditions for this tridiagonal pair to be isomorphic to the tridiagonal pair A^*, A. This problem has been solved for Leonard pairs [76].

Problem 36.2 Assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. With reference to Definition 15.1, find a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameter array of Φ, for the bilinear form $\langle\, , \rangle$ to be positive definite. By definition the form $\langle\, , \rangle$ is positive definite whenever $\|u\|^2 > 0$ for all nonzero $u \in V$.

In order to motivate the next problem we make a definition.

Definition 36.3 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define $A_i = v_i(A)$, where the polynomial v_i is from Definition 13.1. Observe that there exist scalars $p^h_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}$ $(0 \leq h, i, j \leq d)$ such that

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^{d} p^h_{ij} A_h \qquad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$$

We call the p^h_{ij} the *intersection numbers* of Φ.

Problem 36.4 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. For each of the Examples 35.2–35.14, if possible express each intersection number as a hypergeometric series or a basic hypergeometric series. Also for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, determine those Φ for which the intersection numbers are all nonnegative.

Problem 36.5 Assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Determine those Φ for which the intersection numbers of each of Φ, $\Phi^{\downarrow}$, $\Phi^{\Downarrow}$, $\Phi^{\downarrow\Downarrow}$ are all nonnegative. Also, determine those Φ for which the intersection numbers of each relative of Φ are all nonnegative.

Problem 36.6 Assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Assume that for each of Φ,Φ^* the intersection numbers are nonnegative. Show that the scalars

$$k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_i - k_0^* - k_1^* - \cdots - k_i^* \qquad (0 \le i \le d)$$

are all nonnegative or all nonpositive.

Problem 36.7 Assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Define

$$N_{ij}^h := p_{ij}^h \sqrt{\frac{k_h}{k_i k_j}} \qquad (0 \le h, i, j \le d),$$

where the p_{ij}^h are from Definition 36.3 and $k_0, \ldots, k_d$ are from Definition 12.1. Determine those Φ for which (i) Φ is isomorphic to Φ^*; and (ii) N_{ij}^h is a nonnegative integer for $0 \le h, i, j \le d$. We remark that such Φ arise in conformal field theory [28].

Example 36.8 Assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $(\theta_i, \theta_i^*, i = 0..d; \varphi_j, \phi_j, j = 1..d)$ denote the corresponding parameter array. Assume

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &= q^i - q^{d-i}, \\ \theta_i^* &= q^i - q^{d-i} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le i \le d$ and

$$\varphi_i = -(1-q^i)(1-q^{d-i+1})(q^{i-1} + q^{d-i}), \tag{173}$$

$$\phi_i = (1-q^i)(1-q^{d-i+1})(q^{i-1} + q^{d-i}) \tag{174}$$

for $1 \le i \le d$, where q is a primitive $(2d+4)$th root of 1. Then Φ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) of Problem 36.7. Moreover $N_{i-1,1}^i = 1$ for $1 \le i \le d$. This example is related to the modular data for the affine Kac-Moody algebra $A_1^{(1)}$ at level d [28, p. 223].

Problem 36.9 Assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote the corresponding eigenvalue sequence. Consider the permutation σ of $0, 1, \ldots, d$ such that $\theta_{\sigma(0)} > \theta_{\sigma(1)} > \cdots > \theta_{\sigma(d)}$. What are the possibilities for σ?

Problem 36.10 Assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote the corresponding eigenvalue sequence. Let the polynomials u_i be as in Definition 14.1. Find a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameter array of Φ, so that the absolute value $|u_i(\theta_j)| \le 1$ for $0 \le i, j \le d$. See [62, Conjecture 2] for an application.

Problem 36.11 Find a short direct proof of Theorem 29.1. Such a proof is likely to lead to an improved proof of Theorem 22.2. The current proof of Theorem 22.2 is in [91].

Problem 36.12 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Let $\langle\, , \rangle$ denote the bilinear form on V from Definition 15.1. We recall $\langle\, , \rangle$ is nondegenerate. What is the Witt index of $\langle\, , \rangle$? The definition of the Witt index is given in [37].

Problem 36.13 Let A_w denote the Askey-Wilson algebra from Theorem 30.2. An element of A_w is called *central* whenever it commutes with every element of A_w. By definition the *center* of A_w is the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of A_w consisting of the central elements of A_w. Describe the center of A_w. Find a generating set for this center. By [33, p. 6] the following element of A_w is central:

$$\begin{aligned} &AA^*AA^* - \beta AA^{*2}A + A^*AA^*A - \gamma^* AA^*A - \gamma(1+\beta)A^*AA^* - \beta\varrho A^{*2} \\ &\quad -(\omega+\gamma\gamma^*)(AA^*+A^*A) - (\eta^*+\gamma\varrho^*)A - (\eta(1+\beta)+\gamma^*\varrho)A^*. \end{aligned}$$

This can be verified using the Askey-Wilson relations. Does this element generate the center of A_w?

Problem 36.14 Let d denote a nonnegative integer. Find all Leonard pairs A, A^* in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that satisfy the following two conditions: (i) A is irreducible tridiagonal; (ii) A^* is lower bidiagonal with $A^*_{i,i-1} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Problem 36.15 Let d denote a nonnegative integer. Find all Leonard pairs A, A^* in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ such that each of A, A^* is irreducible tridiagonal.

Problem 36.16 Let d denote a nonnegative integer. Find all Leonard pairs A, A^* in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ such that each of A, A^* is irreducible tridiagonal with all diagonal entries 0. Note that in this case the Leonard pair A, A^* is isomorphic to the Leonard pair $-A, -A^*$.

Problem 36.17 Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair of diameter d, such that $a_i = 0$ and $a^*_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Find all the bases for the underlying vector space, with respect to which each of A, A^* is tridiagonal with all diagonal entries zero. Show that such a basis is an eigenbasis for $qAA^* - q^{-1}A^*A$ or $q^{-1}AA^* - qA^*A$, where $q^2 + q^{-2} + 1$ is the common value of (82).

Problem 36.18 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *Leonard triple* on V, we mean a three-tuple of linear transformations $A : V \to V$, $A^* : V \to V$, $A^\varepsilon : V \to V$ that satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) below.

(i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is diagonal and the matrices representing A^* and A^ε are each irreducible tridiagonal.

(ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is diagonal and the matrices representing A^ε and A are each irreducible tridiagonal.

(iii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^ε is diagonal and the matrices representing A and A^* are each irreducible tridiagonal.

Find all the Leonard triples. See [20] for a connection between Leonard triples and spin models.

Conjecture 36.19 *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Given three linear transformations $A : V \to V$, $A^* : V \to V$, and $A^\varepsilon : V \to V$, if each two-element subset of A, A^*, A^ε is a Leonard pair on V then A, A^*, A^ε is a Leonard triple on V.*

Problem 36.20 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $\mathrm{End}(V)$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra consisting of all linear transformations from V to V. Let A, A^*, A^ε denote a Leonard triple on V. Each of the pairs A, A^*; A, A^ε; A^*, A^ε is a Leonard pair on V; let r, s, t denote the corresponding antiautomorphisms of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ from Definition 6.2. Determine the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{End}(V))$ generated by r, s, t. Since $r^2 = s^2 = t^2 = 1$, it is conceivable that this subgroup is a Coxeter group. For which Leonard triples is this the case?

Problem 36.21 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^*, A^ε denote a Leonard triple on V. Show that for any permutation x, y, z of A, A^*, A^ε there exists an antiautomorphism σ of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ such that $x^\sigma = x$ and each of $[x, y]^\sigma, [x, z]^\sigma$ is a scalar multiple of the other. Here $[r, s]$ means $rs - sr$.

Problem 36.22 Assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed with characteristic 0. Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let A, A^* denote the Leonard pair on $\mathbb{K}^{d+1}$ given in (2). Find all the matrices A^ε such that A, A^*, A^ε is a Leonard triple on $\mathbb{K}^{d+1}$. Given a solution A^ε, show that each of

$$[A, A^*], \qquad [A^*, A^\varepsilon], \qquad [A^\varepsilon, A]$$

is contained in the $\mathbb{K}$-linear span of I, A, A^*, A^ε. Here $[r, s]$ means $rs - sr$.

Problem 36.23 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^*, A^ε denote a Leonard triple on V. Show that there exists a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathbb{K}$ such that each of

$$AA^* - qA^*A, \qquad A^*A^\varepsilon - qA^\varepsilon A^*, \qquad A^\varepsilon A - qAA^\varepsilon$$

is contained in the $\mathbb{K}$-linear span of I, A, A^*, A^ε.

Problem 36.24 Assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. Let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ that is not a root of unity. Let B denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with generators x, y, z and the following relations. The relations are that each of

$$\frac{q^{-1}xy - qyx}{q^2 - q^{-2}} - z, \qquad \frac{q^{-1}yz - qzy}{q^2 - q^{-2}} - x, \qquad \frac{q^{-1}zx - qxz}{q^2 - q^{-2}} - y$$

is central in B. Let V denote a finite dimensional irreducible B-module on which each of x, y, z is multiplicity-free. Show that x, y, z act on V as a Leonard triple. Determine all the B-modules of this type, up to isomorphism.

Problem 36.25 Classify up to isomorphism the finite dimensional irreducible B-modules, where the algebra B is from Problem 36.24. This problem is closely related to Problem 30.3.

Problem 36.26 Referring to the tridiagonal pair A, A^* in Definition 34.1, consider the space of all linear transformations $X : V \to V$ such that both

$$\begin{aligned} XV_i &\subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} && (0 \le i \le d), \\ XV^*_i &\subseteq V^*_{i-1} + V^*_i + V^*_{i+1} && (0 \le i \le d). \end{aligned}$$

Find a basis for this space.

Note 36.27 Problem 36.26 is solved if A, A^* is a Leonard pair [74].

Conjecture 36.28 *Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2. Then for $0 \le r \le d$ the elements*

$$E^*_0, E^*_1, \ldots, E^*_r, E_r, E_{r+1}, \ldots, E_d$$

together generate $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark 36.29 Conjecture 36.28 holds for $r = 0$ by Corollary 5.5, and since A is a linear combination of $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d$. Similarly Conjecture 36.28 holds for $r = d$.

Problem 36.30 Referring to the tridiagonal pair A, A^* in Definition 34.1, find all the linear transformations $X : V \to V$ such that both

$$\begin{aligned} XV^*_i &\subseteq V^*_0 + V^*_1 + \cdots + V^*_{i-1} && (0 \le i \le d), \\ XV_i &\subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} && (0 \le i \le d). \end{aligned}$$

Problem 36.31 Referring to the tridiagonal pair A, A^* in Definition 34.1, find all the linear transformations $X : V \to V$ such that both

$$\begin{aligned} XV^*_i &\subseteq V^*_0 + V^*_1 + \cdots + V^*_i && (0 \le i \le d), \\ XV_i &\subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} && (0 \le i \le d). \end{aligned}$$

Problem 36.32 Recall the algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)^{>0}$ from Example 34.6. Describe the center of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)^{>0}$. Find a generating set for this center. We remark that $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)^{>0}$ has infinite dimension as a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. A basis for this vector space is given in [47, Theorem 2.29].

Problem 36.33 Assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V. Compute the Jordan Canonical form for $q^{-1}AA^* - qA^*A$, where $q^2 + q^{-2} = \beta$ and β is from Theorem 34.8.

Problem 36.34 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By an *inverting pair* on V we mean an ordered pair of invertible linear transformations $K : V \to V$ and $K^* : V \to V$ that satisfy both (i), (ii) below.

(i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing K has all entries 0 above the superdiagonal, the matrix representing K^{-1} has all entries 0 below the subdiagonal, and the matrix representing K^* is diagonal.

(ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing K^* has all entries 0 above the superdiagonal, the matrix representing K^{*-1} has all entries 0 below the subdiagonal, and the matrix representing K is diagonal.

Find all the inverting pairs. See [48, Section 11] for a connection between inverting pairs and Leonard pairs of q-geometric type.

Problem 36.35 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on V. To avoid degenerate situations assume q is not a root of unity, where $q + q^{-1} = \beta$ and β is from Theorem 34.8. Find all the invertible linear transformations $K : V \to V$ such that (i) A, K is a Leonard pair, and (ii) A^*, K^{-1} is a Leonard pair.

Problem 36.36 Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote a sequence of mutually distinct scalars in $\mathbb{K}$. Let λ denote an indeterminate and let V denote the vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ consisting of all polynomials in λ that have degree at most d. Define a polynomial $\tau_i = \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(\lambda - \theta_h)$ for $0 \le i \le d$ and observe $\tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d$ is a basis for V. Define $\rho_i = \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(\lambda - \theta_{d-h})$ for $0 \le i \le d$ and observe $\rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_d$ is a basis for V. By a *lowering* map on V we mean a linear transformation $\Psi : V \to V$ that satisfies both

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi\tau_i \in \operatorname{span}(\tau_{i-1}) \qquad (1 \le i \le d), \qquad && \Psi\tau_0 = 0, \\ &\Psi\rho_i \in \operatorname{span}(\rho_{i-1}) \qquad (1 \le i \le d), \qquad && \Psi\rho_0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Show that there exists a nonzero lowering map on V provided $(\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1})(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i)^{-1}$ is independent of i for $2 \le i \le d-1$.

Remark 36.37 (Vidunas 2007) Referring to Problem 36.36, the existence of a nonzero lowering map does not imply that $(\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1})(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i)^{-1}$ is independent of i for $2 \le i \le d-1$. Here is an example with $d = 5$. For $b, c \in \mathbb{K}$ define

$$\theta_0 = 0, \quad \theta_1 = b + c, \quad \theta_2 = b, \quad \theta_3 = c, \quad \theta_4 = b + c - 1, \quad \theta_5 = 1,$$

with b, c chosen so that $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^5$ are mutually distinct. Note that

$$\frac{\theta_0 - \theta_3}{\theta_1 - \theta_2} = -1, \qquad \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_4}{\theta_2 - \theta_3} = \frac{-1}{c - b}, \qquad \frac{\theta_2 - \theta_5}{\theta_3 - \theta_4} = -1,$$

and that $c - b \neq 1$ in general. Note also that there exists a lowering map Ψ on V that sends

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_0 \mapsto 0, \quad \tau_1 \mapsto \tau_0, \quad \tau_2 \mapsto 0, \quad \tau_3 \mapsto \tau_2, \quad \tau_4 \mapsto 0, \quad \tau_5 \mapsto \tau_4, \\ &\rho_0 \mapsto 0, \quad \rho_1 \mapsto \rho_0, \quad \rho_2 \mapsto 0, \quad \rho_3 \mapsto \rho_2, \quad \rho_4 \mapsto 0, \quad \rho_5 \mapsto \rho_4. \end{aligned}$$

The transition matrix from the basis $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^5$ to the basis $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^5$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & a & -ac & abc & -a(a+1)bc \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & a & 0 & abc \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & b-c & a & -ac \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = b + c - 1$.

Problem 36.38 By a *generalized Leonard system* in $\mathcal{A}$ we mean a sequence $(A; A^*; \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta^*_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ that satisifies (i)–(v) below.

(i) $A, A^* \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\theta_i, \theta^*_i \in \mathbb{K}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

(ii) $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ is an ordering of the roots of the characteristic polynomial of A.

(iii) $\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$ is an ordering of the roots of the characteristic polynomial of A^*.

(iv) For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i(A)A^*\eta_{d-j}(A) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i-j > 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } i-j = 1, \end{cases} \\ \eta_{d-i}(A)A^*\tau_j(A) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j-i > 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } j-i = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(v) For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^*_i(A^*)A\eta^*_{d-j}(A^*) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i-j > 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } i-j = 1, \end{cases} \\ \eta^*_{d-i}(A^*)A\tau^*_j(A^*) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j-i > 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } j-i = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(We are using the notation (83), (84)). We are not assuming $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ are mutually distinct or that $\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$ are mutually distinct. Classify the generalized Leonard systems. Extend the theory of Leonard systems to the level of generalized Leonard systems.

Problem 36.39 For an integer $d \geq 0$ and for $X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$, we define X to be *north Vandermonde* whenever the entries $X_{ij} = X_{0j}f_i(\theta_j)$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$, where $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ are mutually distinct scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ and $f_i \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ has degree i for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Let $X' \in \mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the matrix obtained by rotating X counterclockwise 90 degrees. We define X to be *east Vandermonde* (resp. *south Vandermonde*) (resp. *west Vandermonde*) whenever X' (resp. X'') (resp. X''') is north Vandermonde. Find all the matrices in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ that are simultaneously north, south, east, and west Vandermonde.

Definition 36.40 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension n. By a *cyclic Leonard pair* on V, we mean an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy (i)–(iv) below.

(i) Each of A, A^* is multiplicity free.

(ii) There exists a bijection $i \to V_i$ from the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ to the set of eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \qquad (\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

(iii) There exists a bijection $i \to V_i^*$ from $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ to the set of eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \qquad (\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

(iv) There does not exist a subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

Example 36.41 For an integer $d \geq 3$ let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ denote scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ that satisfy the conditions PA1 and PA5 of Definition 22.1. Assume q is a primitive n^{th} root of unity, where $q + q^{-1} + 1$ is the common value of (82) and $n = d + 1$. Pick distinct $b, c \in \mathbb{K}$ and define

$$\varphi_i = (q^i - 1)(b - cq^{-i}) + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_d) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d),$$

with b, c chosen such that $\varphi_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let A (resp. A^*) denote the matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ with entries $A_{ii} = \theta_i$ (resp. $A^*_{ii} = \theta^*_i$) for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $A_{i,i-1} = 1$ (resp. $A^*_{i-1,i} = \varphi_i$) for $1 \leq i \leq d$, and all other entries 0. Show that the pair A, A^* acts on $V = \mathbb{K}^n$ as a cyclic Leonard pair. Show that A, A^* satisfy the Askey-Wilson relations (122), (123).

Problem 36.42 Recall the tridiagonal algebra T from Theorem 34.10. Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible T-module on which the generators A, A^* are multiplicity-free. Show that the pair A, A^* acts on V as a cyclic Leonard pair.

Problem 36.43 Classify the cyclic Leonard pairs. Extend the theory of Leonard pairs to the level of cyclic Leonard pairs. Does a cyclic Leonard pair satisfy the Askey-Wilson relations (122), (123)? If not, does it satisfy the tridiagonal relations (132), (133)?

Problem 36.44 Referring to the tridiagonal algebra T from Theorem 34.10, give examples of finite-dimensional irreducible T-modules on which the generators A, A^* are not diagonalizable. If possible, classify all such modules.

Problem 36.45 Referring to the tridiagonal algebra T from Theorem 34.10, let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible T-module on which the generators A, A^* are diagonalizable, but do not form a tridiagonal pair. Show that for V the eigenspaces of A and the eigenspaces of A^* all have the same dimension.

Problem 36.46 Referring to the tridiagonal pair A, A^* in Definition 34.1, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ let θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) associated with the eigenspace V_i (resp. V_i^*). Assume V_0^* has dimension 1. Observe that for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the space V_0^* is an eigenspace for

$$(A^* - \theta_1^* I)(A^* - \theta_2^* I) \cdots (A^* - \theta_i^* I)(A - \theta_{i-1} I) \cdots (A - \theta_1 I)(A - \theta_0 I);$$

let ζ_i denote the corresponding eigenvalue. Show that the tridiagonal pair A, A^* is determined up to isomorphism by the array $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\zeta_i\}_{i=0}^d)$. We will call this array a *parameter array* for A, A^*.

Problem 36.47 Referring to Example 36.41, choose b, c such that $\varphi_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and

$$0 \neq \sum_{i=0}^{d} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i p_{i+1} p_{i+2} \cdots p_d,$$

where $p_j = (\theta_0 - \theta_j)(\theta_0^* - \theta_j^*)$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. Show that there exists a tridiagonal pair with parameter array $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i\}_{i=0}^d)$. Show that this tridiagonal pair is not a Leonard pair.

Problem 36.48 Referring to the tridiagonal pair A, A^* in Definition 34.1, let V' denote the dual space of V; we recall V' is the vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ consisting of all $\mathbb{K}$-linear transformations from V to $\mathbb{K}$. Define linear transformations $B : V' \to V'$ and $B^* : V' \to V'$ as follows: For $f \in V'$, Bf (resp. B^*f) is that element of V' such that $(Bf)(v) = f(Av)$ (resp. $(B^*f)(v) = f(A^*v)$) for all $v \in V$. Show that the pair B, B^* is a tridiagonal pair on V' which is isomorphic to A, A^*.

Problem 36.49 Referring to the tridiagonal pair A, A^* in Definition 34.1, show that there exists a nonzero symmetric bilinear form $\langle\, , \,\rangle$ on V such that $\langle Au, v\rangle = \langle u, Av\rangle$ and $\langle A^*u, v\rangle = \langle u, A^*v\rangle$ for all $u, v \in V$. Show that this form is nondegenerate and unique up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$. For the case in which A, A^* is a Leonard pair the bilinear form is constructed in Section 15.

Problem 36.50 Classify the tridiagonal pairs that have shape vector $(\rho, \rho, \ldots, \rho)$, where ρ is an integer at least 2. See Section 34 for the definition of the shape vector.

Problem 36.51 Let $(A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$ and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. For an integer $0 \leq r \leq d$ and for a subset L of $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$, show that $\sum_{i=0}^r E_i^*V$ and $\sum_{j\in L} E_jV$ have zero intersection if the cardinality of L is at most $d - r$.

Problem 36.52 Referring to the tridiagonal pair in Definition 34.1, find all the linear transformations $S : V \to V$ such that $SV_i = V_i$ and $S(V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_i^*) = V_d^* + V_{d-1}^* + \cdots + V_{d-i}^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Is S diagonalizable? If so, find a basis for each V_i consisting of eigenvectors for S.

Problem 36.53 Let $\Phi = (A; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a Leonard system in $\mathcal{A}$ and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. Define

$$T = \nu^2 \sum_{i=0}^{d} k_i^{-1} E_i E_0^* E_0 E_i^*.$$

Show that T sends a Φ-standard basis for V to a Φ^*-standard basis for V. Show that $AT = TA^*$ if and only if the eigenvalue sequence of Φ and the dual eigenvalue sequence of Φ coincide.

Problem 36.54 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Consider a pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy (i)–(iv) below:

(i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i+1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

where $V_{-1} = 0$, $V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $V_0^*, V_1^*, \ldots, V_\delta^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_{i+1}^* \qquad (0 \leq i \leq \delta),$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0$, $V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There does not exist a subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

Show that $d = \delta$. Now define

$$U_i = (V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_i^*) \cap (V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{d-i}) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Show that

$$V = U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_d \qquad \text{(direct sum)}.$$

Show that for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the dimensions of V_i, V_i^*, U_i coincide. Let θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) associated with V_i (resp. V_i^*). Show that both

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \theta_{d-i}I)U_i &\subseteq U_{i+1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \\ (A^* - \theta_i^*I)U_i &\subseteq U_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \end{aligned}$$

where $U_{-1} = 0$ and $U_{d+1} = 0$.

Problem 36.55 Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a finite sequence of mutually distinct scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ and assume

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i} \tag{175}$$

is independent of i for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. Let $\beta + 1$ denote the common value of (175). Consider the set of all sequences $(\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$ that satisfy (PA3), (PA4), and

$$\text{(PA5')}: \qquad \theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^* = (\beta + 1)(\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*) \qquad (2 \leq i \leq d-1).$$

Observe that this set is a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. Show that the dimension of this vector space is 4 provided $d \geq 2$. Find an attractive basis for this vector space. Find a geometric interpretation of this vector space. How is this problem related to Problem 36.31?

Problem 36.56 Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on V. Find all the linear transformations $A^\varepsilon : V \to V$ that satisfy (i)–(iv) below:

(i) $A^\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Span}\{I, A, A^*, AA^*, A^*A\}$;

(ii) $A \in \mathrm{Span}\{I, A^*, A^\varepsilon, A^*A^\varepsilon, A^\varepsilon A^*\}$;

(iii) $A^* \in \mathrm{Span}\{I, A^\varepsilon, A, A^\varepsilon A, AA^\varepsilon\}$;

(iv) Any two of A, A^*, A^ε satisfy the Askey-Wilson relations.

Example 36.57 Referring to Problem 36.56, assume A, A^* has Racah type as in Example 35.9. Show that conditions (i)–(iv) of the problem are satisfied for $A^\varepsilon = h^{-1}A + h^{*-1}A^*$, where h, h^* are from Example 35.9.

We now consider the uniqueness of the element A^ε in Problem 36.56.

Problem 36.58 Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on V and let the linear transformation $A^\varepsilon : V \to V$ be as in Problem 36.56. Show that $A^{\varepsilon\dagger}$ satisfies the conditions (i)–(iv) in Problem 36.56, where $\dagger$ is the antiautomorphism from Theorem 6.1. Now let $A^{\varepsilon\prime} : V \to V$ denote any linear transformation that satisfies the conditions (i)–(iv) in Problem 36.56. Show that $A^{\varepsilon\prime}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Span}\{A^\varepsilon, I\}$ or $\mathrm{Span}\{A^{\varepsilon\dagger}, I\}$.

Problem 36.59 Referring to Problem 36.56, find the eigenvalues of A^ε. Find necessary and sufficient conditions for A^ε to be diagonalizable. Show that A^ε is diagonalizable if and only if A, A^*, A^ε is a Leonard triple.

Problem 36.60 Let Φ denote the Leonard system from Definition 3.2 and let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. By a *flag* on V we mean a nested sequence $f_0 \subseteq f_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq f_d$ of subspaces of V such that f_i has dimension $i+1$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. First, find all the flags $f_0 \subseteq f_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq f_d$ on V such that each of Af_i, A^*f_i is contained in f_{i+1} for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Show that the set of such flags has the structure of an affine algebraic variety. Secondly, find all the flags $f_0 \subseteq f_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq f_d$ on V such that each of Af_i, A^*f_i is contained in f_{i+1} for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $A^\varepsilon f_i \subseteq f_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, where A^ε is from Problem 36.56. Show there exist at most two such flags for a given A^ε.

To motivate the next two problems, recall that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ has a basis x, y, z such that $[x, y] = 2x + 2y$, $[y, z] = 2y + 2z$, $[z, x] = 2z + 2x$. This basis is called *equitable.*

Problem 36.61 Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on V. Assume $\beta = 2$ where $\beta + 1$ is the common value of (82). Show that there exists an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structure on V such that A (resp. A^*) acts on V as a linear combination of I, x, y, xy (resp. I, y, z, yz). Here x, y, z is the equitable basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

Problem 36.62 Referring to Problem 36.61, assume that A, A^* extends to a Leonard triple A, A^*, A^ε. Show that A^ε acts on V as a linear combination of I, z, x, zx.

In order to motivate the next two problems we recall the equitable presentation for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. This presentation has generators X, X^{-1}, Y, Z that satisfy $XX^{-1} = X^{-1}X = 1$ and

$$\frac{qXY - q^{-1}YX}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \qquad \frac{qYZ - q^{-1}ZY}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \qquad \frac{qZX - q^{-1}XZ}{q - q^{-1}} = 1.$$

Problem 36.63 Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on V. Assume q is not a root of unity, where $q^2 + q^{-2} + 1$ is the common value of (82). Show that there exists an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V such that A (resp. A^*) acts on V as a linear combination of I, X, Y, XY (resp. I, Y, Z, YZ). Here X, Y, Z are the equitable generators for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Problem 36.64 Referring to Problem 36.63, assume that A, A^* extends to a Leonard triple A, A^*, A^ε. Show that A^ε or $A^{\varepsilon\dagger}$ acts on V as a linear combination of I, Z, X, ZX. Here $\dagger$ is the antiautomorphism from Theorem 6.1.

Example 36.65 Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair of dual q-Krawtchouk type; see Example 35.8. After a minor change of variables and replacing q by q^2, this Leonard pair has a parameter array of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= \eta + uq^{d-2i} + vq^{2i-d},\\ \theta^*_i &= \eta^* + u^*q^{d-2i}\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= uu^*(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})q^{d-2i+1},\\ \phi_i &= vu^*(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})q^{d-2i+1}\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Show that the underlying vector space supports an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure such that

$$A = \eta I + uX + vY, \qquad A^* = \eta^* I + u^* Z,$$

where X, Y, Z are the equitable generators for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Problem 36.66 Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair of q-Racah type; see Example 35.2. After applying an affine transformation to A, A^*, and after a minor change of variables with q replaced by q^2, this Leonard pair has a parameter array of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= aq^{2i-d} + a^{-1}q^{d-2i},\\ \theta^*_i &= bq^{2i-d} + b^{-1}q^{d-2i}\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= a^{-1}b^{-1}q^{d+1}(q^{-i} - q^i)(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(q^{-i} - abcq^{i-d-1})(q^{-i} - abc^{-1}q^{i-d-1}),\\ \phi_i &= ab^{-1}q^{d+1}(q^{-i} - q^i)(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(q^{-i} - a^{-1}bcq^{i-d-1})(q^{-i} - a^{-1}bc^{-1}q^{i-d-1})\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. In this notation the parameters $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ from Theorem 30.1 satisfy

$$\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}, \qquad \gamma = \gamma^* = 0, \qquad \varrho = \varrho^* = -(q^2 - q^{-2})^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\omega}{(q - q^{-1})^2} &= (a + a^{-1})(b + b^{-1}) + (c + c^{-1})(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1}),\\ \frac{\eta}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})} &= (a + a^{-1})(c + c^{-1}) + (b + b^{-1})(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1}),\\ \frac{\eta^*}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})} &= (b + b^{-1})(c + c^{-1}) + (a + a^{-1})(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Upon defining A^ε via the first equation below, the Askey-Wilson relations can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{q^{-1}AA^*-qA^*A}{q^2-q^{-2}} &= A^\varepsilon-\frac{(a+a^{-1})(b+b^{-1})+(c+c^{-1})(q^{d+1}+q^{-d-1})}{q+q^{-1}}I,\\
\frac{q^{-1}A^*A^\varepsilon-qA^\varepsilon A^*}{q^2-q^{-2}} &= A-\frac{(b+b^{-1})(c+c^{-1})+(a+a^{-1})(q^{d+1}+q^{-d-1})}{q+q^{-1}}I,\\
\frac{q^{-1}A^\varepsilon A-qAA^\varepsilon}{q^2-q^{-2}} &= A^*-\frac{(c+c^{-1})(a+a^{-1})+(b+b^{-1})(q^{d+1}+q^{-d-1})}{q+q^{-1}}I.
\end{aligned}$$

The element A^ε is not diagonalizable in general. The roots of its characteristic polynomial are

$$\theta_i^\varepsilon = cq^{2i-d}+c^{-1}q^{d-2i} \qquad (0\le i\le d). \tag{176}$$

The element A^ε is diagonalizable if and only if the eigenvalues (176) are distinct if and only if c^2 is not among $q^{2d-2}, q^{2d-4}, \ldots, q^{2-2d}$ if and only if A, A^*, A^ε is a Leonard triple. So far we have been assuming A, A^* is q-Racah type; find similar results for the other types of Leonard pairs described in Appendix 35.

Problem 36.67 Referring to Problem 36.66, show that the underlying vector space supports an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure such that

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= aX+a^{-1}Y+cb^{-1}\frac{XY-YX}{q-q^{-1}},\\
A^* &= bZ+b^{-1}X+ac^{-1}\frac{ZX-XZ}{q-q^{-1}},\\
A^\varepsilon &= cY+c^{-1}Z+ba^{-1}\frac{YZ-ZY}{q-q^{-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where X, Y, Z are the equitable generators for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Problem 36.68 Let $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$ denote a parameter array as in Definition 22.1. Find all the parameter arrays $(\{\theta_i'\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^{*\prime}\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i'\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i'\}_{i=1}^d)$ such that $\theta_i^{*\prime}=\theta_i^*$ for $0\le i\le d$ and $\varphi_i'\phi_i'=\varphi_i\phi_i$ for $1\le i\le d$.

Problem 36.69 Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair over $\mathbb{K}$. Assume $\beta=2$ where $\beta+1$ is the common value of (82). We are interested in the eigenvalues of $A+tA^*$ ($t\in\mathbb{K}$). Let $m_t\in\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ denote the (monic) minimal polynomial of $A+tA^*$. Call t *feasible* whenever there exist $a, b, c\in\mathbb{K}$ such that

$$m_t=\prod_{i=0}^d(\lambda-a-bi-ci^2).$$

Note that $t=0$ is feasible. What other t are feasible?

Problem 36.70 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Consider a pair of linear transformations $A: V\to V$ and $A^*: V\to V$ that satisfy (i)–(iii) below:

(i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) such that

$$\begin{aligned}(A-\theta_i I)V_i^* &\subseteq V_{i+1}^* \qquad (0 \le i \le d),\\ (A^*-\theta_i^* I)V_i &\subseteq V_{i+1} \qquad (0 \le i \le d),\end{aligned}$$

where θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) is the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) associated with V_i (resp. V_i^*) and $V_{d+1} = 0$, $V_{d+1}^* = 0$.

(iii) For $0 \le i \le d/2$ the restrictions

$$\begin{aligned}(A-\theta_i I)(A-\theta_{i+1} I)\cdots(A-\theta_{d-i-1} I)|_{V_i^*} : V_i^* &\rightarrow V_{d-i}^*,\\ (A^*-\theta_i^* I)(A^*-\theta_{i+1}^* I)\cdots(A^*-\theta_{d-i-1}^* I)|_{V_i} : V_i &\rightarrow V_{d-i}\end{aligned}$$

are bijections.

Call such a pair A, A^* a *bidiagonal pair*. Classify the bidiagonal pairs up to isomorphism. Show that these objects are essentially in bijection with the finite-dimensional modules for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Note 36.71 (Jan 1, 2008)
George Brown is working on Problem 36.16 and Problem 36.17.
John Caughman and his students are working on Problem 36.10, Problem 36.36.
Brian Curtin and Hassan Alnajjar are working on Problem 36.18, Problem 36.46, Problem 36.48, Problem 36.49.
Ali Godjali is working on Problem 36.39, Problem 36.54.
Darren Neubauer is working on Problem 36.70.
Kazumasa Nomura is working on Problem 36.14, Problem 36.35, Problem 36.53, Problem 36.56. He has solved Problem 36.51.
Raimundas Vidunas is working on Problem 36.36, Problem 36.55.

References

[1] C. Ahn and K. Shigemoto. Onsager algebra and integrable lattice models, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **6(38)** (1991) 3509–3515.

[2] G. Albertini, B. McCoy, J. Perk. Eigenvalue spectrum of the superintegrable chiral Potts model, in *Integrable systems in quantum field theory and statistical mechanics*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19** 1–55. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.

[3] G. Albertini, B. McCoy, J. H. H. Perk, S. Tang. Excitation spectrum and order parameter for the integrable N-state chiral Potts model. *Nuclear Phys. B* **314** (1989) 741–763.

[4] G. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy. *Special functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[5] R. Askey and J.A. Wilson. A set of orthogonal polynomials that generalize the Racah coefficients or $6-j$ symbols. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 10:1008–1016, 1979.

[6] N. Atakishiyev and A. Klimyk. On q-orthogonal polynomials, dual to little and big q-Jacobi polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **294** (2004) 246–254; `arXiv:math.CA/0307250`.

[7] M. N. Atakishiyev and V. Groza. The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{su}_2)$ and q-Krawtchouk families of polynomials. *J. Phys. A.* **37** (2004) 2625–2635.

[8] M. N. Atakishiyev, N. M. Atakishiyev, and A. Klimyk. Big q-Laguerre and q-Meixner polynomials and representations of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathrm{su}_{1,1})$. *J. Phys. A.* **36** (2003) 10335–10347.

[9] H. Au-Yang and J. H. H. Perk. Onsager's star-triangle equation: master key to integrability. *Integrable systems in quantum field theory and statistical mechanics 57–94*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19**, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.

[10] H. Au-Yang, B. McCoy, J. H. H. Perk, and S. Tang. Solvable models in statistical mechanics and Riemann surfaces of genus greater than one, in *Algebraic analysis, Vol. I*, 29–39. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[11] E. Bannai and T. Ito. *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.

[12] V. V. Bazhanov and Y. G. Stroganov. Chiral Potts model as a descendant of the six-vertex model, *J. Statist. Phys.* **59** (1990) 799–817.

[13] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier. *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[14] J. S. Caughman IV. The Terwilliger algebras of bipartite P- and Q-polynomial schemes, *Discrete Math.* **196** (1999) 65–95.

[15] V. Chari and A. Pressley. Quantum affine algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991), 261–283.

[16] N. Ciccoli and F. Gavarini. A quantum duality principle for subgroups and homogeneous spaces, preprint `arXiv:math.QA/0312289`.

[17] B. Curtin. The Terwilliger algebra of a 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **81** (2001) 125–141.

[18] B. Curtin and K. Nomura. Distance-regular graphs related to the quantum enveloping algebra of $sl(2)$, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **12** (2000) 25–36.

[19] B. Curtin. Distance-regular graphs which support a spin model are thin, in: Proc. 16th British Combinatorial Conference (London, 1997), *Discrete Math.* **197/198** (1999) 205–216.

[20] B. Curtin. Modular Leonard triples. Preprint.

[21] C. Daskaloyannis. Quadratic Poisson algebras of two-dimensional classical superintegrable systems and quadratic associative algebras of quantum superintegrable systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 100–1119.

[22] E. Date and S.S. Roan. The structure of quotients of the Onsager algebra by closed ideals, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** (2000) 3275–3296.

[23] B. Davies. Onsager's algebra and superintegrability, *J. Phys. A:Math. Gen.* **23** (1990) 2245–2261.

[24] B. Davies. Onsager's algebra and the Dolan-Grady condition in the non-self-dual case, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 2945–2950.

[25] T. Deguchi, K. Fabricius, and B. McCoy. The sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the six-vertex model at roots of unity, in Proceedings of the Baxter Revolution in Mathematical Physics (Canberra, 2000), J. Statist. Phys. **102** (2001) 701–736.

[26] L. Dolan and M. Grady. Conserved charges from self-duality, *Phys. Rev. D (3)* **25** (1982) 1587–1604.

[27] D. B. Fairlie. Quantum deformations of SU(2). *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** (1990) L183–L187.

[28] T. Gannon. Modular data: the algebraic combinatorics of conformal field theory. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **22** (2005) 211–250.

[29] G. Gasper and M. Rahman. *Basic hypergeometric series.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[30] G. von Gehlen and V. Rittenberg. Z_n-symmetric quantum chains with infinite set of conserved charges and Z_n zero modes, *Nucl. Phys. B* **257** (1985) 351–370.

[31] J. T. Go. The Terwilliger algebra of the hypercube, *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002) 399–429.

[32] Ya. A. Granovskiĭ and A. S. Zhedanov. Nature of the symmetry group of the $6j$-symbol, *Zh. Èksper. Teoret. Fiz.* **94** (1988) 49–54.

[33] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ, I. M. Lutzenko, and A. S. Zhedanov. Mutual integrability, quadratic algebras, and dynamical symmetry, *Ann. Physics* **217** (1992) 1–20.

[34] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ and A. S. Zhedanov. "Twisted" Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for $\mathrm{su}_q(2)$, *J. Phys. A* **25** (1992) L1029–L1032.

[35] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ and A. S. Zhedanov. Linear covariance algebra for $\mathrm{sl}_q(2)$, *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993) L357–L359.

[36] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ and A. S. Zhedanov. Spherical q-functions, *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993) 4331–4338.

[37] L. C. Grove. *Classical groups and geometric algebra.* American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2002.

[38] F. A. Grünbaum. Some bispectral musings, in: *The bispectral problem (Montreal, PQ, 1997)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 31–45.

[39] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. Bispectral Darboux transformations: an extension of the Krall polynomials, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **8** (1997) 359–392.

[40] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. The q-version of a theorem of Bochner, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **68** (1996) 103–114.

[41] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. Some functions that generalize the Askey-Wilson polynomials, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **184** (1997) 173–202.

[42] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. On a q-analogue of the string equation and a generalization of the classical orthogonal polynomials, in: *Algebraic methods and q-special functions (Montréal, QC, 1996)* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 171–181.

[43] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. The Wilson bispectral involution: some elementary examples, in: *Symmetries and integrability of difference equations (Canterbury, 1996)*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 353–369.

[44] F. A. Grünbaum, L. Haine, and E. Horozov. Some functions that generalize the Krall-Laguerre polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **106** (1999) 271–297.

[45] M. Havlček, A. U. Klimyk, and S. Pošta. Representations of the cyclically symmetric q-deformed algebra $\mathrm{so}_q(3)$. *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999) 2135–2161.

[46] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P- and Q-polynomial association schemes, in: *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001, pp. 167–192; `arXiv:math.CO/0406556`.

[47] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The shape of a tridiagonal pair. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **188** (2004) 145–160; `arXiv:math.QA/0304244` .

[48] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. *Ramanujan J.*, submitted; `arXiv:math.QA/0310042`.

[49] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The q-tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules. *Comm. Algebra*, in press; `arXiv:math.QA/0602199`.

[50] I. T. Ivanov and D. B. Uglov. R-matrices for the semicyclic representations of $U_q\widehat{\mathrm{sl}}(2)$, *Phys. Lett. A*, **167** (1992) 459–464.

[51] C. Kassel. *Quantum groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[52] S. Klishevich and M. Plyushchay. Dolan-Grady relations and noncommutative quasi-exactly solvable systems, *J. Phys. A*, **36**, (2003) 11299–11319.

[53] S. Klishevich and M. Plyushchay. Nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry on Riemann surfaces, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **640** (2002) 481–503.

[54] S. Klishevich and M. Plyushchay. Nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry, Dolan-Grady relations and Onsager algebra, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **628**, (2002) 217–233.

[55] R. Koekoek and R. F. Swarttouw. *The Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polyomials and its q-analog*, report 98-17, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands, 1998. Available at `http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/research.html`

[56] H. T. Koelink. Askey-Wilson polynomials and the quantum su(2) group: survey and applications, *Acta Appl. Math.* **44** (1996) 295–352.

[57] H. T. Koelink. q-Krawtchouk polynomials as spherical functions on the Hecke algebra of type B, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000) 4789–4813.

[58] H. T. Koelink and J. Van der Jeugt. Convolutions for orthogonal polynomials from Lie and quantum algebra representations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **29** (1998) 794–822.

[59] H. T. Koelink and J. Van der Jeugt. Bilinear generating functions for orthogonal polynomials, *Constr. Approx.* **15** (1999) 481–497.

[60] T. H. Koornwinder. Askey-Wilson polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the $SU(2)$ quantum group, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **24** (1993) 795–813.

[61] T. H. Koornwinder and U. Onn. Lower-upper triangular decompositions, $q = 0$ limits, and p-adic interpretations of some q-hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, preprint `arXiv:math.CA/0405309`.

[62] A. Kresch and H. Tamvakis. Standard conjectures for the arithmetic grassmannian $G(2, N)$ and Racah polynomials. *Duke Math. J.* **110** (2001), 359–376.

[63] C. W. H. Lee and S. G. Rajeev. A Lie algebra for closed strings, spin chains, and gauge theories, *J. Math. Phys.*, **39** (1998) 5199–5230.

[64] D. Leonard. Orthogonal polynomials, duality, and association schemes, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1982) 656–663.

[65] J. Marco and J. Parcet. A new approach to the theory of classical hypergeometric polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* To appear.

[66] B. McCoy. Integrable models in statistical mechanics: the hidden field with unsolved problems. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A*, **14** (1999) 3921–3933.

[67] K. Nomura, Tridiagonal pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations, Linear Algebra Appl. 397 (2005) 99–106.

[68] K. Nomura, A refinement of the split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 403 (2005) 1–23.

[69] K. Nomura, Tridiagonal pairs of height one, Linear Algebra Appl. 403 (2005) 118–142.

[70] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Balanced Leonard pairs, Linear Algebra Appl., in press; `arXiv:math.RA/0506219`.

[71] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Some trace formulae involving the split sequences of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 413 (2006) 189–201; `arXiv:math.RA/0508407`.

[72] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, The determinant of $AA^* - A^*A$ for a Leonard pair A, A^*, Linear Algebra Appl. 416 (2006) 880–889; `arXiv:math.RA/0511641`.

[73] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Matrix units associated with the split basis of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 418 (2006) 775–787; `arXiv:math.RA/0602416`.

[74] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Linear transformations that are tridiagonal with respect to both eigenbases of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl., in press; `arXiv:math.RA/0605316`.

[75] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, The switching element for a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl., submitted for publication; `arXiv:math.RA/0608623`.

[76] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger. Affine transformations of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl., submitted for publication; `arXiv:math.RA/0611783`.

[77] M. Noumi and K. Mimachi. Askey-Wilson polynomials as spherical functions on $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1510**, 98–103. Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[78] M. Noumi and K. Mimachi. Spherical functions on a family of quantum 3-spheres, *Compositio Math.*, **83** (1992) 19–42.

[79] M. Noumi. Quantum groups and q-orthogonal polynomials—towards a realization of Askey-Wilson polynomials on $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, *Special functions (Okayama, 1990)* 260–288. ICM-90 Satell. Conf. Proc. Springer, Tokyo, 1991.

[80] M. Noumi and K. Mimachi. Askey-Wilson polynomials and the quantum group $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **66** (1990) 146–149.

[81] M. Odesskii. An analog of the Sklyanin algebra. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **20** (1986) 78–79.

[82] L. Onsager. Crystal statistics. I. A two-dimensional model with an order-disorder transition. *Phys. Rev. (2)* **65** (1944) 117–149.

[83] J. H. H. Perk. Star-triangle relations, quantum Lax pairs, and higher genus curves. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **49** 341–354. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

[84] S. S. Roan. Onsager's algebra, loop algebra and chiral Potts model, Preprint MPI 91–70, Max Plank Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, 1991.

[85] H. Rosengren. *Multivariable orthogonal polynomials as coupling coefficients for Lie and quantum algebra representations.* Ph.D. Thesis. Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, Sweden, 1999.

[86] H. Rosengren. An elementary approach to the $6j$-symbols (classical, quantum, rational, trigonometric, and elliptic), preprint `arXiv:math.CA/0312310`.

[87] J. J. Rotman. *Advanced modern algebra.* Prentice Hall, Saddle River NJ 2002.

[88] P. Terwilliger. The incidence algebra of a uniform poset, *Math and its applications* **20** (1990) 193–212.

[89] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1** (1992) 363–388.

[90] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993) 177–210.

[91] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **330** (2001), 149–203; `arXiv:math.RA/0406555`.

[92] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q-Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations. In *Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya)*, 377–398, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001; `arXiv:math.QA/0307016`.

[93] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs from 24 points of view. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **32**(2) (2002), 827–888; `arXiv:math.RA/0406577`.

[94] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD-D and the LB-UB canonical form. *J. Algebra*, submitted; `arXiv:math.RA/0304077`.

[95] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs. OPSFA Rome 2001. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **153**(2) (2003), 463–475.

[96] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems. *Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, (1109):67–79, 1999. Algebraic combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999).

[97] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition, *OPSFA7* To appear; `arXiv:math.RA/0306290`.

[98] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array. *Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics 2, Oisterwijk, The Netherlands 2002.* To appear, `arXiv:math.RA/0306291`.

[99] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs and the q-Racah polynomials. *Linear Algebra Appl.* To appear; `arXiv:math.QA/0306301`.

[100] P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas. Leonard pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations. *J. Algebra Appl.* To appear; `arXiv:math.QA/0305356`.

[101] D. B. Uglov and I. T. Ivanov. sl(N) Onsager's algebra and integrability, *J. Statist. Phys.*, **82** (1996) 87–113.

[102] A. S. Zhedanov. "Hidden symmetry" of Askey-Wilson polynomials, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **89** (1991) 190–204.

[103] A. S. Zhedanov. Quantum su$_q(2)$ algebra: "Cartesian" version and overlaps, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **7** (1992) 1589–1593.

[104] A. S. Zhedanov. Hidden symmetry algebra and overlap coefficients for two ring-shaped potentials, *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993) 4633–4641.

[105] A. S. Zhedanov, and A. Korovnichenko. "Leonard pairs" in classical mechanics, *J. Phys. A*, **5**, (2002) 5767–5780.

Paul Terwilliger
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, Wisconsin, 53706 USA
Email: `terwilli@math.wisc.edu`